arXiv:0803.4408v2 [math.OA] 8 Jan 2009

COMPLETELY 1-COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF SCHATTEN SPACES

CHRISTIAN LE MERDY, ÉRIC RICARD AND JEAN ROYDOR

Abstract. We consider the Schatten spaces S^p in the framework of operator space theory and for any $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, we characterize the completely 1-complemented subspaces of S^p. They turn out to be the direct sums of spaces of the form $S^p(H, K)$, where H, K are Hilbert spaces. This result is related to some previous work of Arazy-Friedman giving a description of all 1-complemented subspaces of S^p in terms of the Cartan factors of types 1-4. We use operator space structures on these Cartan factors regarded as subspaces of appropriate noncommutative L^p-spaces. Also we show that for any $n \geq 2$, there is a triple isomorphism on some Cartan factor of type 4 and of dimension $2n$ which is not completely isometric, and we investigate L^p-versions of such isomorphisms.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 46L07, 46L89, 17C65

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces. For any $p \geq 1$, let $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be the Schatten space of all operators $x \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ such that $\|x\|_p = \big(tr(|x|^p)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is finite. Let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a (closed) subspace. We say that X is 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ if it is the range of a contractive projection $P \colon S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. In their remarkable memoirs [2, 3], Arazy and Friedman gave a complete classification of all such subspaces (for $p \neq 2$), in terms of Cartan factors of types 1-4.

In this paper we consider Schatten spaces and their complemented subspaces in the framework of operator spaces and completely bounded maps. Following Pisier's work [17], we regard $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ as an operator space and we give a complete description of the completely 1-complemented subspaces of $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, that is, spaces $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ which are the range of a completely contractive projection of $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

The statement of our main result, Theorem 1.1 below, requires some tensor product definitions and some notation. For any Hilbert spaces H, H', K, K', we will consider the natural embedding

$$S^p(H', K') \otimes S^p(H, K) \,\subset\, S^p(H' \overset{2}{\otimes} H, K' \overset{2}{\otimes} K),$$

where $\overset{2}{\otimes}$ denotes the Hilbertian tensor product. Thus for any subspace $Z \subset S^p(H', K')$ and any $a \in S^p(H, K)$, we will regard

$$Z \otimes a := \{z \otimes a \,:\, z \in Z\}$$

Date: January 8, 2009.

as a subspace of $S^p(H' \overset{2}{\otimes} H, K' \overset{2}{\otimes} K)$.

If I, J are two index sets, we set $S^p_{I,J} = S^p(\ell^2_J, \ell^2_I)$ and we write $S^p_I = S^p_{I,I}$. With this notation, $S^p_{I,J} \otimes S^p(H,K) \subset S^p(\ell^2_J(H), \ell^2_I(K))$, where $\ell^2_J(H) = \ell^2_J \overset{2}{\otimes} H$ is the 2-direct sum of J copies of H.

Next we recall that if $(H_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(K_\alpha)_\alpha$ are two families of Hilbert spaces, then we have a natural isometric embedding

$$\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha) \,\subset\, S^p\big(\overset{2}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} H_\alpha, \overset{2}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} K_\alpha\big),$$

where $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$ denotes the p-direct sum of the $S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$'s and $\overset{2}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} H_\alpha$ denotes the 2-direct sum of the H_α's. This is obtained by identifying any $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$ with the 'diagonal' operator $\overset{2}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} H_\alpha \to \overset{2}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} K_\alpha$ taking any $(\xi_\alpha)_\alpha$ to $(x_\alpha(\xi_\alpha))_\alpha$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces, let $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$ and let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a subspace. The following are equivalent.*

(i) *X is completely 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.*

(ii) *X is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.*

(iii) *There exist, for some set A, two families of indices $(I_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ and $(J_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$, a family $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of Hilbert spaces, as well as operators $a_\alpha \in S^p(H_\alpha)$, and two linear isometries*

$$U\colon \overset{2}{\underset{\alpha\in A}{\oplus}} \ell^2_{J_\alpha}(H_\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V\colon \overset{2}{\underset{\alpha\in A}{\oplus}} \ell^2_{I_\alpha}(H_\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

such that

$$X \,=\, V\Big(\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\bigoplus}} S^p_{I_\alpha, J_\alpha} \otimes a_\alpha\Big)U^*.$$

(iv) *There exist, for some set A, two families of indices $(I_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ and $(J_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ such that X is completely isometric to the p-direct sum $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p_{I_\alpha, J_\alpha}$.*

See Definition 2.1 below for the meaning of (ii). In the above statement, the main implication is $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$. The starting point of its proof is the Arazy-Friedman work [2, 3] giving a list of all 1-complemented subspaces of $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. In Section 2, we give some background on this classification and some preliminary results, as well as a brief account on the matricial structure of Schatten spaces and completely bounded maps on their subspaces. The strategy to prove $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ consists in taking any 1-complemented $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ from the Arazy-Friedman list, to exhibit a canonical contractive projection onto X, and to determine whether that projection is completely contractive (or [2]-1-contractive). This is mostly achieved in Sections 3-5. Theorem 1.1 is eventually proved in Section 6.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, let $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the Clifford algebra generated by a collection $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n})$ of Fermions, and let $F_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the linear span of $\{1, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}, \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}\}$. Then let $\tau\colon F_n \to F_n$ be the linear mapping such that $\tau(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = -\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}$ and τ is the identity on the linear span of $\{1, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}\}$. The space F_n is a Cartan factor of type 4 and τ

is a triple isomorphism. This 'transpose map' plays a key role in the study of 1-complemented subspaces of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ (see Section 5). In Section 7, we investigate further properties of τ in the framework of operator space theory. First we show that $\|\tau\|_{cb} = (n+1)/n$. Then let $F_n^p \subset L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$ be the space F_n regarded an a subspace of the noncommutative L^p-space associated to $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$. We determine when $\tau\colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ is completely contractive (it depends on n and p), and we give applications and complements.

We refer the reader to [11, 12, 13] for some work on contractive and completely contractive projections on some Cartan factors, which is somehow related to the present paper. We also mention the Ng-Ozawa paper [14] for a description of the completely 1-complemented subspaces of noncommutative L^1-spaces.

2. BACKGROUND ON COMPLETE BOUNDEDNESS AND 1-COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES

We start with some preliminary facts concerning completely bounded maps on Schatten spaces and their subspaces. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}'$ be Hilbert spaces and consider subspaces $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$. For any index set I, we let

$$S_I^p \overset{p}{\otimes} X \ \subset\ S^p(\ell_I^2(\mathcal{H}),\ell_I^2(\mathcal{K}))$$

denote the completion of $S_I^p \otimes X$ induced by the embedding of $S_I^p \otimes S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ into the space $S^p(\ell_I^2(\mathcal{H}),\ell_I^2(\mathcal{K}))$.

Note that for any integer $n \geq 1$, $S_n^p \otimes X$ coincides with the space of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in X. Let $u\colon X \to Y$ be a bounded linear map. We set

$$\|u\|_n \;=\; \big\|I_{S_n^p} \otimes u\colon S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} X \longrightarrow S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} Y\big\| \tag{2.1}$$

for any $n \geq 1$, and we say that u is $[n]$-contractive if $\|u\|_n \leq 1$. This is equivalent to

$$\big\|[u(x_{ij})]\big\|_{S^p(\ell_n^2(\mathcal{H}'),\ell_n^2(\mathcal{K}'))} \leq \big\|[x_{ij}]\big\|_{S^p(\ell_n^2(\mathcal{H}),\ell_n^2(\mathcal{K}))}, \qquad x_{ij} \in X,\ 1 \leq i,j \leq n. \tag{2.2}$$

Next we set

$$\|u\|_{cb} = \sup_{n\geq 1} \|u\|_n. \tag{2.3}$$

By definition, u is completely bounded if $\|u\|_{cb} < \infty$, and we say that u is a complete contraction (or is completely contractive) if $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$. Also we say that u is a complete isometry if $I_{S_n^p} \otimes u$ is an isometry for any $n \geq 1$.

The above definitions come from Pisier's fundamental work [17] and we wish to point out that they are consistent with the usual terminology of operator space theory. Indeed, assume that Schatten spaces are equipped with their 'natural' operator space structure introduced in Pisier's memoir. Then equip any subspace of a Schatten space with the inherited structure. With these conventions it is easy to check that the spaces $S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} X$ and $S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} Y$ coincide with the operator space valued Schatten spaces $S_n^p[X]$ and $S_n^p[Y]$ from [17, Chapter 1]. Hence it follows from [17, Lem. 1.7] that the definitions of $\|\ \|_n$ and $\|\ \|_{cb}$ given by (2.1) and (2.3) coincide with the ones obtained by regarding $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$ as operator spaces. We shall not use much of operator space theory and we refer the interested reader to [18], [5] or [16] for basic definitions and background.

Definition 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is $[n]$-1-complemented if X is the range of an $[n]$-contractive projection $P\colon S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$. Then we say that X is completely 1-complemented if it is the range of a completely contractive projection $P\colon S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$.*

Note that $S^2(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is 'homogeneous', that is, any bounded linear map $u\colon S^2(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to S^2(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is automatically completely bounded, with $\|u\|_{cb} = \|u\|$ (see [18, Chap. 7]). Consequently, any $X \subset S^2(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is completely 1-complemented. Thus we will only focus on $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$ in the sequel.

We say that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$ are equivalent, and we write

$$X \sim Y,$$

if there exist two partial isometries $U\colon \mathcal{H}' \to \mathcal{H}$ and $V\colon \mathcal{K}' \to \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$X = VYU^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y = V^*XU. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that if $X = VYU^*$, then $Y = V^*XU$ if and only if $y = V^*VyU^*U$ for any $y \in Y$, if and only if the mapping $y \mapsto VyU^*$ is one-to-one on Y.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}'$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $W_1\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ and $W_2\colon \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}'$ be two contractions. Then the linear mapping $S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}') \to S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ taking any $z \in S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$ to $W_2^* z W_1$ is a complete contraction.*

Proof. This is clear using (2.2). □

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$ are equivalent. Then X and Y are completely isometric and for any $n \geq 1$, X is $[n]$-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ if and only if Y is $[n]$-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$. Also, X is completely 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ if and only if Y is completely 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.2 ensures that $y \mapsto VyU^*$ is a complete isometry from Y onto X. Now suppose that $P\colon S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is a contractive projection whose range is equal to X, and that X and Y satisfy (2.4). Then the mapping $Q\colon S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}') \to S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$ defined by

$$Q(z) = V^*P(VzU^*)U, \qquad z \in S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}'),$$

is a contractive projection whose range is equal to Y. Moreover it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\|Q\|_n \leq \|P\|_n$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. This implies the second part of the statement. □

Remark 2.4. Although it is not appearent in the notation, the property $X \sim Y$ depends on the embeddings $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{K}')$, and not only on the operator space structures of X and Y. Namely, X and Y may be completely isometric without being equivalent. This subtlety should not lead to any confusion, since the embeddings considered for various spaces studied below will be clear from the context. Note also that if we have Hilbert spaces $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $K \subset \mathcal{K}$, then $S^p(H,K)$ regarded as a subspace of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is equivalent to $S^p(H,K)$ regarded as a subspace of itself.

In the second part of this section, we review the classification of 1-complemented subspaces of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ obtained by Arazy-Friedman [2, 3]. We fix some $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$ throughout.

Let $X_1, X_2 \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ be two subspaces. We say that X_1 and X_2 are orthogonal if

$$x_1^* x_2 = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad x_1 x_2^* = 0, \qquad x_1 \in X_1,\ x_2 \in X_2.$$

As observed in [3, p. 18], this is equivalent to the identity

$$\|x_1 + x_2\|^p = \|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p, \qquad x_1 \in X_1,\ x_2 \in X_2. \tag{2.5}$$

Also it is easy to check (left to the reader) that this is equivalent to the existence of orthogonal decompositions $\mathcal{H} = H_1 \overset{2}{\oplus} H_2$ and $\mathcal{K} = K_1 \overset{2}{\oplus} K_2$ such that $X_i \subset S^p(H_i, K_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently, if $(X_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a family of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$, the closed subspace of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ generated by the X_α's is equal to their p-direct sum $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} X_\alpha$. Furthermore we have

$$S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} \big(\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} X_\alpha \big) \ =\ \overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} \big(S_n^p \overset{p}{\otimes} X_\alpha \big) \tag{2.6}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

We say that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is indecomposable if it cannot be written as the direct sum of two non trivial orthogonal subspaces. According to [3, Prop. 2.2], any subspace X of $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is equal to a direct sum $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} X_\alpha$ of pairwise orthogonal indecomposable subspaces. For that reason we will concentrate on indecomposable subspaces in the rest of this section and in the next three sections. We note that if X and Y are two subspaces of some S^p-spaces, and if X and Y are isometric, then X is indecomposable if and only if Y is indecomposable. Indeed, this follows from (2.5).

For any two index sets I and J, we regard elements of $S^p_{I,J}$ as scalar matrices $[t_{ij}]_{i\in I, j\in J}$ in the usual way. Then we let $\sigma\colon S^p_{I,J} \to S^p_{J,I}$ be the transpose map, defined by

$$\sigma\big([t_{ij}]\big) \ =\ [t_{ji}].$$

This is an isometry. In the case $J = I$, we let

$$\mathcal{S}_I^p = \{w \in S_I^p \,:\, \sigma(w) = w\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{A}_I^p = \{w \in S_I^p \,:\, \sigma(w) = -w\}$$

be the spaces of symmetric and anti-symmetric matrices, respectively.

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}_I^p$ and $\mathcal{A}_I^p$ are 1-complemented subspaces of S_I^p. Indeed,

$$P_s = \frac{1}{2}(Id + \sigma) \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_a = \frac{1}{2}(Id - \sigma) \tag{2.7}$$

are contractive projections whose range are equal to $\mathcal{S}_I^p$ and $\mathcal{A}_I^p$ respectively. Likewise, for any operator $a \in S^p(H)$ in some S^p-space, the two spaces $\mathcal{S}_I^p \otimes a$ and $\mathcal{A}_I^p \otimes a$ are 1-complemented subspaces of $S^p(\ell^2_I(H))$.

Definition 2.5. *We say that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ is a space of symmetric matrices (resp. of anti-symmetric matrices) if it is equivalent to a space of the form $\mathcal{S}_I^p \otimes a$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_I^p \otimes a$), where I is an index set and $a \in S^p(H)$.*

Let $a_1 \in S^p(H_1)$ and $a_2 \in S^p(H_2)$ be two operators, and consider the spaces

$$Y_1 = S^p_{I,J} \otimes a_1 \subset S^p(\ell^2_J(H_1), \ell^2_I(H_1)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y_2 = S^p_{J,I} \otimes a_2 \subset S^p(\ell^2_I(H_2), \ell^2_J(H_2)),$$

as well as

$$Y = \{(w \otimes a_1, \sigma(w) \otimes a_2) \,:\, w \in S^p_{I,J}\} \subset Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2. \tag{2.8}$$

In this definition we assume that $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0,0)$, excluding the trivial case $Y = \{0\}$. However a_1 or a_2 can be equal to 0.

The space Y is 1-complemented in $Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2$. To check this fact, and also for further purposes, it is convenient to use matrix notation. In the sequel, for any $z_1 \in S^p_{I,J}$, $z_2 \in S^p_{J,I}$ we identify $(z_1 \otimes a_1, z_2 \otimes a_2) \in Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2$ with the 2×2 diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may assume that $\|a_1\|_p^p + \|a_2\|_p^p = 1$, and we let $t = \|a_1\|_p^p$. Then $\|z_1 \otimes a_1\| = \|z_1\|\|a_1\| = t^{\frac{1}{p}}\|z_1\|$, whereas $\|z_2 \otimes a_2\| = (1-t)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|z_2\|$. Hence the norm on $Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2$ in the above identification is given by

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \bigl(t\|z_1\|^p + (1-t)\|z_2\|^p\bigr)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Furthermore

$$Y = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(w) \end{bmatrix} \,:\, w \in S^p_{I,J} \right\}.$$

Let $P\colon Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2 \to Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2$ be the linear mapping defined by

$$P\left(\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} tz_1 + (1-t)\sigma^{-1}(z_2) & 0 \\ 0 & t\sigma(z_1) + (1-t)z_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.9}$$

It is plain that P is a projection onto Y. Moreover by convexity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|tz_1 + (1-t)\sigma^{-1}(z_2)\|^p &\leq \bigl(t\|z_1\| + (1-t)\|\sigma^{-1}(z_2)\|\bigr)^p \\ &\leq t\|z_1\|^p + (1-t)\|\sigma^{-1}(z_2)\|^p \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for any $z_1 \in S^p_{I,J}$, $z_2 \in S^p_{J,I}$. Likewise,

$$\|t\sigma(z_1) + (1-t)z_2\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|,$$

which shows that the projection P is contractive. This implies that Z is 1-complemented in the p-direct sum of $S^p(\ell^2_J(H_1), \ell^2_I(H_1))$ and $S^p(\ell^2_I(H_2), \ell^2_I(H_2))$, and hence in the S^p-space

$$S^p\bigl(\ell^2_J(H_1) \overset{2}{\oplus} \ell^2_I(H_2), \ell^2_I(H_1) \overset{2}{\oplus} \ell^2_I(H_2)\bigr).$$

Definition 2.6. *We say that $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a space of rectangular matrices if it is equivalent to a space Y of the form (2.8).*

We now turn to the construction of operator spaces acting on anti-symmetric Fock spaces. We refer the reader to [4, 20] for general information on these spaces. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. For any $k = 0, \ldots, n$, we let $\Lambda_{n,k}$ denote the k-fold anti-symmetric tensor product of the Hilbert space ℓ^2_n, equipped with the canonical inner product given by

$$\big\langle \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k\,,\, \xi'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi'_k \big\rangle \,=\, \det\big[\langle \xi_i, \xi'_j\rangle\big], \qquad \xi_i,\ \xi'_j \in \ell^2_n.$$

By convention, $\Lambda_{n,0} = \mathbb{C}$. We let Ω be a particular unit element of $\Lambda_{n,0}$, which is called the vacuum vector. Then the anti-symmetric Fock space over ℓ^2_n is the Hilbertian direct sum

$$\Lambda_n \,=\, \overset{2}{\underset{0\leq k\leq n}{\oplus}} \Lambda_{n,k}.$$

Throughout we let $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ denote the canonical basis of ℓ^2_n and we let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the set of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with cardinal $|A| = k$, and let $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n$ be the increasing enumeration of the elements of A. Then we set

$$e_A = e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k}. \tag{2.10}$$

By convention, $e_\emptyset = \Omega$. Clearly the system $\{e_A \,:\, |A| = k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda_{n,k}$. We will call it 'canonical' in the sequel. Note that $\dim(\Lambda_{n,k}) = \binom{n}{k}$ and that $\dim(\Lambda_n) = 2^n$.

For any $1 \leq j \leq n$, we let

$$c_{n,j} \colon \Lambda_n \longrightarrow \Lambda_n$$

be the so-called creation operator defined by letting $c_{n,j}(\Omega) = e_j$, and

$$c_{n,j}(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k) = e_j \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k, \qquad \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in \ell^2_n.$$

Next we denote by $P_n \colon \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_n$ the orthogonal projection onto the space

$$\Lambda_n^{\text{even}} \,=\, \underset{\substack{0\leq k\leq n\\ k \text{ even}}}{\oplus} \Lambda_{n,k}$$

generated by tensor products of even rank. Following [2, p. 24], we let

$$x_{n,j} = c_{n,j} P_n \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{x}_{n,j} = c^*_{n,j} P_n$$

be the restrictions of $c_{n,j}$ and $c^*_{n,j}$ to Λ_n^{even} for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then we set

$$AH_n \,=\, \text{Span}\{x_{n,j}, \widetilde{x}_{n,j} \,:\, j = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

This is a $2n$-dimensional operator space. Next we let

$$BH_n = \{x^* \,:\, x \in AH_n\} \,=\, \text{Span}\{x^*_{n,j}, \widetilde{x}^*_{n,j} \,:\, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$$

be the adjoint space of AH_n. Note that $c_{n,j} = P_n c_{n,j} + c_{n,j} P_n$. Consequently,

$$\widetilde{x}^*_{n,j} = c_{n,j}(Id - P_n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad x^*_{n,j} = c^*_{n,j}(Id - P_n)$$

are the restrictions of $c_{n,j}$ and $c^*_{n,j}$ to the space

$$\Lambda_n^{\text{odd}} \,=\, \Lambda_n \ominus \Lambda_n^{\text{even}} \,=\, \underset{\substack{0\leq k\leq n\\ k \text{ odd}}}{\oplus} \Lambda_{n,k}$$

generated by the tensor products of odd rank.

In the sequel we let AH^p_n and BH^p_n denote the spaces AH_n and BH_n respectively, regarded as subspaces of $S^p(\Lambda_n)$.

Let $\kappa\colon AH_n \to BH_n$ be the exchange map defined by letting

$$\kappa(x_{n,j}) = \widetilde{x}^*_{n,j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \kappa(\widetilde{x}_{n,j}) = x^*_{n,j}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{2.11}$$

It follows from the calculations in [2, Chap. 2] that κ is an isometry from AH^p_n onto BH^p_n. (An explicit proof of this fact will be given in Section 5, see Remark 5.12). For any operators $a_1 \in S^p(H_1)$ and $a_2 \in S^p(H_2)$, with $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0,0)$, we will consider

$$Z = \bigl\{ (x \otimes a_1, \kappa(x) \otimes a_2) \,:\, x \in AH^p_n \bigr\} \subset S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_1) \overset{p}{\oplus} S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_2). \tag{2.12}$$

According to [2, Prop. 2.9], this space is 1-complemented in the p-direct sum of $S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_1)$ and $S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_2)$.

Now following [2, p. 33] we consider the $(2n-1)$-dimensional operator space

$$DAH_n \,=\, \text{Span}\{x_{n,n} + \widetilde{x}_{n,n};\ x_{n,j}, \widetilde{x}_{n,j} \,:\, j = 1, \ldots, n-1\},$$

and we let DAH^p_n be that space regarded as a subspace of $S^p(\Lambda_n)$. Then for any $a \in S^p(H)$, the space $DAH^p_n \otimes a$ is 1-complemented in $S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H)$, by [2, Prop. 2.13].

Simple proofs of the above mentioned 1-complementation results will be given later on in Section 5, see Remark 5.12.

Definition 2.7. *Let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a finite dimensional space of dimension $N \geq 1$. If $N = 2n-1$ is odd, we say that X is a spinorial space if it is equivalent to a space of the form $DAH^p_n \otimes a$, for some $a \in S^p(H)$. If $N = 2n$ is even, we say that X is a spinorial space if it is equivalent to a space of the form (2.12).*

See the end of this section for more on this terminology.

We shall now define a class of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces which are 1-complemented subspaces of S^p. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. It is clear that the creation operators $c_{n,j}$ map $\Lambda_{n,k-1}$ into $\Lambda_{n,k}$. For any $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we let

$$c_{n,j,k}\colon \Lambda_{n,k-1} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{n,k}$$

be the restriction of $c_{n,j}$ to $\Lambda_{n,k-1}$. A quick examination of the definition of the $c_{n,j}$'s shows that the matrix of $c_{n,j,k}$ in the canonical bases of $\Lambda_{n,k-1}$ and $\Lambda_{n,k}$ has its entries in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, with at most one non zero element on each row and on each column. Moreover the ±1 entries appear exactly $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ times. Hence $\|c_{n,j,k}\|_p^p = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for any j. We let

$$H_{n,k} \,=\, \text{Span}\{c_{n,j,k} \,:\, j = 1, \ldots, n\},$$

and we let $H^p_{n,k}$ be that space regarded as a subspace of $S^p(\Lambda_n)$. By [3, Chap. 7], $H^p_{n,k}$ is an n-dimensional Hilbert space. More precisely, the linear mapping

$$\varphi_k\colon \ell^2_n \longrightarrow H^p_{n,k}, \qquad \varphi_k(e_j) = c_{n,j,k}, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{2.13}$$

is a multiple of an isometry, i.e. $\bigl[\binom{n-1}{k-1}\bigr]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \varphi_k$ is an isometry. We refer the reader to [11, 12, 13] for more on these Hilbert spaces and their operator space properties.

For any operators $a_1 \in S^p(H_1), \dots, a_n \in S^p(H_n)$, with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, we will consider the space

$$E = \left\{ (\varphi_1(s) \otimes a_1, \dots, \varphi_n(s) \otimes a_n) \,:\, s \in \ell_n^2 \right\} \subset \overset{p}{\underset{1 \le k \le n}{\oplus}} S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_k). \tag{2.14}$$

Clearly E is a Hilbert space. Indeed if we assume (after normalisation) that

$$\sum_k \tbinom{n-1}{k-1} \|a_k\|_p^p = 1,$$

then the linear mapping $\ell_n^2 \to E$ taking any $s \in \ell_n^2$ to $(\varphi_1(s) \otimes a_1, \dots, \varphi_n(s) \otimes a_n)$ is an isometry. According to [2, Prop. 2.5], the space E is 1-complemented.

Theorem 2.8. (Arazy-Friedman) *Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be an indecomposable subspace, with $1 \le p \neq 2 < \infty$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *X is 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.*
- (ii) *X is either a space of symmetric matrices, or a space of anti-symmetric matrices (in the sense of Definition 2.5), or a space of rectangular matrices (in the sense of Definition 2.6), or a spinorial space (in the sense of Definition 2.7) of dimension ≥ 5, or a finite dimensional Hilbertian space equivalent to a space of the form (2.14).*

By Lemma 2.3 and the results we have recorded along this section, all the spaces in the list (ii) are 1-complemented. The hard implication '$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$' is proved in [3, Chap. 7] in the case $p > 1$ and in [2, Chap. 5] in the case $p = 1$.

After reducing to the case of indecomposable spaces, the proof of Theorem 1.1 will mainly consist in showing that the spaces in the list (ii) above are not completely 1-complemented, except the ones which are equivalent to some $S^p_{I,J} \otimes a$. This will be achieved in the next three sections.

It should be noticed that the classes of 1-complemented subspaces considered above do not exclude each other. For instance, the Hilbert space $S^p_{n,1}$ is equivalent to $H^p_{n,1}$, whereas $S^p_{1,n}$ is equivalent to $H^p_{n,n}$. On the other hand, $AH^p_1 = \ell^p_2$ and it follows from [2, Chap. 2] that AH^p_2 is equivalent to S^p_2, AH^p_3 is equivalent to $\mathcal{A}^p_4$, DAH^p_2 is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}^p_2$ and $\mathcal{A}^p_3$ is equivalent to $H^p_{3,2}$.

Remark 2.9. Suppose that $p > 1$. If $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is 1-complemented, then the contractive projection $P \colon S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ whose range is equal to X is unique (see [3, Prop. 1.2]).

This uniqueness property is false in the case $p = 1$ (see e.g. [2, p. 36]). However a similar result holds true, as follows. Let $X \subset S^1(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a subspace and let $r \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\ell \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be the smallest orthogonal projections such that $\ell x r = x$ for any $x \in X$. Let $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $K \subset \mathcal{K}$ be the ranges of r and ℓ, respectively. Thus $X \subset S^1(H, K)$, and H, K are the smallest subspaces of $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ with that property. We say that X is nondegenerate if $H = \mathcal{H}$ and $K = \mathcal{K}$. It is proved in [2, Th. 2.15] that if X is 1-complemented and nondegenerate, then the contractive projection P on $S^1(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ with range equal to X is unique.

Note that X regarded as a subspace of $S^1(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is equivalent to X regarded as a subspace of $S^1(H, K)$. Thus if we wish to determine whether X is $[n]$-1-complemented (for some $n \ge 1$), there is no loss of generality in assuming that X is nondegenerate.

We end this section with some terminology and notions which play a central role in the work of Arazy-Friedman [2, 3], and some basic facts.

Let $\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $X\subset B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ be a closed subspace. By definition X is a JC^*-triple if xx^*x belongs to X for any $x\in X$. Next a linear map $u\colon X\to Y$ between two JC^*-triples X and Y is called a triple homomorphism if $u(xx^*x)=u(x)u(x)^*u(x)$ for any $x\in X$. If u is one-to-one, we say that u is a triple monomorphism. If further u is a bijection, then u^{-1} also is a triple homomorphism and we say that u is a triple isomorphism in this case. It is well-known that a bijection $u\colon X\to Y$ between two JC^*-triples is an isometry if and only if it is a triple isomorphism (see [7]). We say that X and Y are triple equivalent if there is a triple isomorphism from X onto Y.

We now turn to Cartan factors of types 1-4. We mainly follow [7] (see also [11]). By definition, a Cartan factor of type 1 is a JC^*-triple which is triple equivalent to some $B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}$ are Hilbert spaces. Next let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with a distinguished Hilbertian basis, and let $w\mapsto {}^tw$ denote the associated transpose map on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Then the space of anti-symmetric operators

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})\,=\,\{w\in B(\mathcal{H})\,:\,{}^tw=-w\}$$

is a JC^*-triple, and we call Cartan factor of type 2 any JC^*-triple which is triple equivalent to some $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$. Likewise, the space of symmetric operators

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})\,=\,\{w\in B(\mathcal{H})\,:\,{}^tw=w\}$$

is a JC^*-triple, and we call Cartan factor of type 3 any JC^*-triple which is triple equivalent to some $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. Lastly, let $X\subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed subspace such that $x^*\in X$ for any $x\in X$ and x^2 is a scalar multiple of the identity operator for any $x\in X$. Then X is a JC^*-triple, and we call Cartan factor of type 4 any JC^*-triple which is triple equivalent to such a space.

Let $n\geq 2$ be an integer. An n-tuple $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ of operators in some $B(\mathcal{H})$ is called a spin system if each s_j is a selfadjoint unitary and

$$s_js_{j'}+s_{j'}s_j=0,\qquad 1\leq j\neq j'\leq n.$$

In this case, the n-dimensional space

$$X=\mathrm{Span}\{s_1,\ldots,s_n\}\subset B(\mathcal{H})$$

is a Cartan factor of type 4.

Let $w_1,\ldots,w_n$ be the operators on Λ_n defined by

$$\omega_j=c_{n,j}+c_{n,j}^*,\qquad j=1,\ldots,n.$$

These operators are called Fermions and they form a spin system (see e.g. [4]). Hence their linear span is an n-dimensional Cartan factor of type 4. It is well-known that all n-dimensional Cartan factors of type 4 are mutually triple equivalent. Thus the space $\mathrm{Span}\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n\}$ is actually a model for such spaces.

It turns out that the spaces AH_n and DAH_n considered in this section are Cartan factors of type 4. This will be implicitly shown along the proof of Theorem 5.11. We refer the reader to [3] for details on this, and for a deeper analysis of the relationship between 1-complemented subspaces of S^p-spaces and Cartan factors.

In the framework of operator space theory, it is natural to wonder whether a triple isomorphism between Cartan factors is necessarily a complete isometry, that is, if the identification of Cartan factors in the category of JC^*-triples coincide with their identification as operator spaces. This is not always the case. Indeed, if $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{K}$ has dimension ≥ 2, any transposition map $B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{H})$ is a triple isomorphism which is not completely isometric. This question is more delicate for Cartan factors of types 2-4 and will be discussed further in Section 7 (see in particular Proposition 7.3 and Remark 7.4).

3. ELEMENTARY COMPUTATIONS

In this section we will treat rectangular matrices, symmetric matrices, and anti-symmetric matrices. We will only need elementary matrix computations.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ be a space of rectangular matrices, and assume that $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$.*

1. *If X is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$, then there exist two index sets I, J, a Hilbert space H and an operator $a \in S^p(H)$ such that X is equivalent to $S^p_{I,J} \otimes a$.*
2. *If X is equivalent to a space of the form $S^p_{I,J} \otimes a$, then X is completely 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$.*

Proof. Part (2) is obvious by Lemma 2.3. To prove (1), it suffices by Lemma 2.3 again to consider index sets I, J with $(I, J) \neq (1, 1)$ and to show that for any operators $a_1 \in S^p(H_1)$, $a_2 \in S^p(H_2)$, the space defined by (2.8) is [2]-1-complemented only if $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$. We will use matrix notation as introduced in Section 2. We assume that $\|a_1\|_p^p + \|a_2\|_p^p = 1$ and we let $t = \|a_1\|_p^p$.

Let us write $Y_{I,J}$ for the space defined by (2.8). For any $I' \subset I$ and $J' \subset J$, we have a natural inclusion $Y_{I',J'} \subset Y_{I,J}$. Then $Y_{I',J'}$ is clearly completely 1-complemented in $Y_{I,J}$. Hence without loss of generality we can assume that $I = 2$ and $J = 1$.

Assume that $Y = Y_{2,1}$ is [2]-1-complemented. Then by Remark 2.9, the projection P on $Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2$ defined by (2.9) is [2]-contractive. According to(2.6), we have an isometric identification

$$S^p_2 \overset{p}{\otimes} (Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2) \,=\, \Big[\big(S^p_2 \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{2,1}\big) \otimes a_1\Big] \overset{p}{\oplus} \Big[\big(S^p_2 \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{1,2}\big) \otimes a_2\Big],$$

and for any $z_1 \in S^p_2 \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{2,1} \simeq S^p_{4,2}$ and $z_2 \in S^p_2 \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{1,2} \simeq S^p_{2,4}$, we have

(3.1)
$$\big(I_{S^p_2} \otimes P\big)\left(\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} tz_1 + (1-t)[I_{S^p_2} \otimes \sigma^{-1}](z_2) & 0 \\ 0 & t[I_{S^p_2} \otimes \sigma](z_1) + (1-t)z_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume first that $2 < p < \infty$. For any positive angle $\theta > 0$, consider

$$z_1(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad z_2(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\|z_1(\theta)\|_p^p = \|z_2(\theta)\|_p^p = \cos(\theta)^2 + \sin(\theta)^2 = 1,$$

hence

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_1(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & z_2(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{S_2^p \overset{p}{\otimes} (Y_1 \overset{p}{\oplus} Y_2)} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Applying the transpose map $\sigma\colon S^p_{2,1} \to S^p_{1,2}$ and its inverse, we find that

$$[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma](z_1(\theta)) = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 & 0 & (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and that

$$[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma^{-1}](z_2(\theta)) = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$tz_1(\theta) + (1-t)[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma^{-1}](z_2(\theta)) \ = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ (1-t)(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

whereas

$$t[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma](z_1(\theta)) + (1-t)z_2(\theta) \ = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 & 0 & t(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ 0 & 0 & (1-t)(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|tz_1(\theta) + (1-t)[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma^{-1}](z_2(\theta))\big\|_p \ &\geq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ (1-t)(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{S^p_{2,1}} \\ &= \big((\cos(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} + (1-t)^2(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\big\|t[I_{S_2^p} \otimes \sigma](z_1(\theta)) + (1-t)z_2(\theta)\big\|_p \geq \big((\cos(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} + t^2(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using (3.2), these estimates imply that

$$\|P\|_2^p \geq t\big((\cos(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} + (1-t)^2(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} \ + \ (1-t)\big((\cos(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} + t^2(\sin(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Since $p > 2$, we have $\frac{4}{p} < 2$. Consequently,

$$(\cos(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} = 1 + o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{4}{p}} = \theta^{\frac{4}{p}} + o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)$$

on a (positive) neighborhood of zero. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}1 = \|P\|_2^p &\geq t\big(1+(1-t)^2\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} \;+\; (1-t)\big(1+t^2\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\\ &\geq 1+\frac{p}{2}(t(1-t)^2+(1-t)t^2)\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big)\\ &= 1+\frac{p}{2}t(1-t)\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $t(1-t)=0$, that is, $a_1=0$ or $a_2=0$.

The case when $1<p<2$ can be treated by duality, or by a direct similar argument. Indeed, let $p'=(p-1)/p>2$ be the conjugate number of p and apply (3.1) with

$$z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p'}} & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p'}} & 0\end{bmatrix} \qquad\text{and}\qquad z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p'}} & 0 & 0 & (\sin(\theta))^{\frac{2}{p'}}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we find that

$$\left\|\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0\\ 0 & z_2\end{bmatrix}\right\| = 1+\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\frac{4}{p'}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{4}{p'}}\big)$$

and that

$$\left\|\big(I_{S_2^p}\otimes P\big)\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0\\ 0 & z_2\end{bmatrix}\right\| \;\geq\; 1+\frac{1}{p}\,\big(t(1-t)^p+t^p(1-t)\big)\theta^{\frac{2p}{p'}}+o\big(\theta^{\frac{2p}{p'}}\big).$$

Since $\frac{2p}{p'}<\frac{4}{p'}$, we deduce that $t=0$ or $t=1$ if P is [2]-contractive.

The case $p=1$ has a similar proof, with

$$z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ \theta & 0\end{bmatrix} \qquad\text{and}\qquad z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \theta\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $X\subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ *and assume that* $1\leq p\neq 2<\infty$ *and* $\dim(X)>1$*. If* X *is either a space of symmetric matrices or a space of anti-symmetric matrices, then* X *is not* [2]-1-*complemented.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that for any $a\in S^p(H)\setminus\{0\}$, the space $\mathcal{S}_I^p\otimes a$ is not [2]-1-complemented, unless $I=1$, and that the space $\mathcal{A}_I^p\otimes a$ is not [2]-1-complemented, unless $I=1$ or 2. Using Remark 2.9 and an obvious reduction, this amounts to showing that the contractive projections

$$P_s\colon S_2^p\longrightarrow S_2^p \qquad\text{and}\qquad P_a\colon S_3^p\longrightarrow S_3^p$$

given by (2.7) are not [2]-contractive.

The subspace

$$\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_{12} & s_{13}\\ s_{21} & 0 & 0\\ s_{31} & 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} \;:\; s_{12},s_{13},s_{21},s_{31}\in\mathbb{C}\right\}\subset S_3^p$$

is completely isometric to $S^p_{2,1} \overset{p}{\oplus} S^p_{1,2}$, and

$$P_a\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ s_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_{12}-s_{21} & s_{13}-s_{31} \\ s_{21}-s_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ s_{31}-s_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\big\|I_{S^p_2}\otimes P_a\big\| \geq \big\|I_{S^p_2}\otimes P\big\|,$$

where P is the projection defined by (2.9) in the case when $I = 2, J = 1$, and $\|a_1\| = \|a_2\|$. It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.1 that this projection is not [2]-contractive. Thus P_a is not [2]-contractive either.

The fact that P_s is not [2]-contractive on S^p_2 also follows from the proof of Proposition 3.1. We skip the details. □

4. Finite dimensional Hilbertian subspaces

In this section we will treat finite dimensional Hilbertian 1-complemented subspaces of S^p-spaces. We use the notation introduced in Section 2. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For any contraction $T\colon \ell^2_n \to \ell^2_n$, we let $F(T)\colon \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_n$ be the linear mapping defined by $F(T)\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$F(T)(\xi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\xi_k) = T(\xi_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge T(\xi_k), \qquad \xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k \in \ell^2_n.$$

It is well-known that $F(T)$ is a contraction (the construction $T \mapsto F(T)$ is called the second quantization). Note that $F(T_1T_2) = F(T_1)F(T_2)$ for any two contractions T_1, T_2 of ℓ^2_n. Thus $F(U)$ is a unitary if U is a unitary, and we have $F(U)^* = F(U^*)$ in this case. We will need the following observation of independent interest.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $U\colon \ell^2_n \to \ell^2_n$ be a unitary operator, and let $\widehat{U}\colon B(\Lambda_n) \to B(\Lambda_n)$ be defined by*

$$\widehat{U}(W) = F(U)WF(U)^*, \qquad W \in B(\Lambda_n).$$

Then for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\widehat{U}(H_{n,k}) \subset H_{n,k}$ and the restriction of $\widehat{U}$ to $H_{n,k}$ coincides with U. (More precisely, $U = \varphi_k^{-1}\widehat{U}\varphi_k$, where $\varphi_k\colon \ell^2_n \to H_{n,k}$ is defined by (2.13)).

Proof. It is clear that $\widehat{U}$ maps $B(\Lambda_{n,k-1}, \Lambda_{n,k})$ into itself. Assume for simplicity that $k \geq 2$ (the case $k = 1$ is similar). Let $1 \leq j \leq n$, and let $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{k-1} \in \ell^2_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widehat{U}(c_{n,j,k})\big](\xi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\xi_{k-1}) &= F(U)c_{n,j,k}F(U)^*(\xi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\xi_{k-1})\\ &= F(U)c_{n,j,k}\big(U^*(\xi_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge U^*(\xi_{k-1})\big)\\ &= F(U)\big(e_j\wedge U^*(\xi_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge U^*(\xi_{k-1})\big)\\ &= U(e_j)\wedge\xi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\xi_{k-1},\end{aligned}$$

since $UU^* = I_{\ell^2_n}$. This yields the result. □

It was proved in [12, Th. 1] that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, $H_{n,k} \subset B(\Lambda_n)$ is a homogeneous operator space. Using [18, Prop. 9.2.1], this result readily follows from the above lemma. The latter implies that $H^p_{n,k} \subset S^p(\Lambda_n)$ is homogeneous as well.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that* $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$ *and let* $a_1 \in S^p(H_1), \dots, a_n \in S^p(H_n)$, *with* $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. *The* n*-dimensional Hilbert space* E *defined by (2.14) is* [2]-1-*complemented if and only if*

$$a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0 \qquad or \qquad a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0.$$

Proof. The 'if' part is clear. If for example $a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0$ then

$$E = H^p_{n,1} \otimes a_1 \sim S^p_{n,1} \otimes a_1,$$

hence E is completely complemented.

We shall now prove the 'only if' part. We assume that E is [2]-1-complemented. We will somehow reduce to the case when $\dim(E) = 2$. Let us apply the second quantization to the unitary

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & (0) & \\ & & -1 & & \\ & (0) & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} : \ell^2_n \longrightarrow \ell^2_n\,.$$

Since U^2 is the identity on ℓ^2_n, we have $F(U)^2 = I_{\Lambda_n}$, hence $\widehat{U}^2$ is the identity operator on $B(\Lambda_n)$. We set

$$\Delta \, = \, \frac{Id + \widehat{U}}{2}\,.$$

Then Δ is a projection. Moreover $\widehat{U}$ is a complete contraction on $S^p(\Lambda_n)$ by Lemma 2.2, hence $\Delta \colon S^p(\Lambda_n) \to S^p(\Lambda_n)$ is a complete contraction as well. We let

$$\Delta^{\oplus n} \colon \overset{p}{\underset{1\leq k\leq n}{\oplus}} S^p(\Lambda_n) \otimes a_k \longrightarrow \overset{p}{\underset{1\leq k\leq n}{\oplus}} S^p(\Lambda_n) \otimes a_k$$

be the amplification of Δ taking $(z_1 \otimes a_1, \dots, z_n \otimes a_n)$ to $(\Delta(z_1) \otimes a_1, \dots, \Delta(z_n) \otimes a_n)$ for any $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in $S^p(\Lambda_n)$. Clearly, $\Delta^{\oplus n}$ also is a completely contractive projection.

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, Δ maps $H_{n,k}$ into itself, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\varphi_k(s)) \, &= \varphi_k\bigl(\langle s, e_1\rangle e_1 + \langle s, e_2\rangle e_2\bigr) \\ &= \, \langle s, e_1\rangle c_{n,1,k} + \langle s, e_2\rangle c_{n,2,k}, \qquad s \in \ell^2_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta^{\oplus n}$ maps E into itself, and

$$\Delta^{\oplus n}(E) \, = \, \Bigl\{\bigl((s_1 c_{n,1,1} + s_2 c_{n,2,1}) \otimes a_1, \dots, (s_1 c_{n,1,n} + s_2 c_{n,2,n}) \otimes a_n\bigr) \, : \, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}\Bigr\}$$

is completely 1-complemented in E. Therefore, the 2-dimensional Hilbert space $\Delta^{\oplus n}(E)$ is [2]-1-complemented in the p-direct sum of the $S^p(\Lambda_n) \otimes a_k$'s.

Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Given any $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, let us look at the matrix $M_k(s_1, s_2)$ of the operator $s_1 c_{n,1,k} + s_2 c_{n,2,k}$ in the canonical bases $\{e_B \, : \, |B| = k-1\}$ and $\{e_A \, : \, |A| = k\}$ of $\Lambda_{n,k-1}$ and $\Lambda_{n,k}$ (see Section 2). We call $m_{A,B}$ the entries of this matrix. If $1 \notin B$ and $2 \notin B$, then $m_{A_1,B} = s_1$ and $m_{A_2,B} = s_2$, where $A_1 = B \cup \{1\}$ and $A_2 = B \cup \{2\}$. All other entries in the column indexed by B are equal to 0. This case occurs $\binom{n-2}{k-1}$ times. Otherwise, that is if $1 \in B$ or $2 \in B$, then the column indexed by B has at most one non zero entry. Likewise if $\{1,2\}\subset A$, then $m_{A,B_1}=s_1$ and $m_{A,B_2}=-s_2$, where $B_1=A\setminus\{1\}$ and $B_2=A\setminus\{2\}$. All other entries in the row indexed by A are equal to 0. This case occurs $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ times. Otherwise, that is if $1\notin A$ or $2\notin A$, then the row indexed by A has at most one non zero entry. Furthermore the submatrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & -s_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

appearing in $M_k(s_1,s_2)$ are 'orthogonal' to each other. Namely if $m_{A_1,B}=s_1$, $m_{A_2,B}=s_2$, $m_{A,B_1}=s_1$ and $m_{A,B_2}=-s_2$, then A is both different from A_1 and A_2 and B is both different from B_1 and B_2. Consequently for an appropriate ordering of the canonical bases of $\Lambda_{n,k-1}$ and $\Lambda_{n,k}$, we have a block diagonal representation

$$M_k(s_1,s_2)\;=\;\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{bmatrix}\otimes I_{k,1} & & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & -s_2 \end{bmatrix}\otimes I_{k,2} & \\ & & 0_{k,3} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $I_{k,1}$ is the unit of the square matrices of size $\binom{n-2}{k-1}$, $I_{k,2}$ is the unit of the square matrices of size $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, and $0_{k,3}$ is the zero rectangular matrix of size $\binom{n-2}{k}\times\binom{n-2}{k-3}$. We deduce that there exist two operators $b_1\in S^p(K_1)$ and $b_2\in S^p(K_2)$ (defined on large enough Hilbert spaces K_1,K_2) such that

$$\Delta^{\oplus n}(E)\sim Y:=\left\{\left(\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{bmatrix}\otimes b_1,\begin{bmatrix} s_1 & -s_2 \end{bmatrix}\otimes b_2\right)\;:\;s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{C}\right\}$$

and

$$\|b_1\|^p=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\tbinom{n-2}{k-1}\|a_k\|_p^p \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|b_2\|^p=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\tbinom{n-2}{k-2}\|a_k\|_p^p. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 2.3, Y is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\ell_2^2(K_1),K_1)\overset{p}{\oplus}S^p(K_2,\ell_2^2(K_2))$, which implies by Proposition 3.1 that $b_1=0$ or $b_2=0$. For any $1\leq k\leq n-1$, we have $\binom{n-2}{k-1}\neq 0$. Hence in the case when $b_1=0$, we have $a_1=\cdots=a_{n-1}=0$ by (4.1). Likewise in the case when $b_2=0$, we have $a_2=\cdots=a_n=0$. ☐

5. Spinorial subspaces

In this section we will prove that spinorial spaces (as defined in Definition 2.7) of dimension ≥ 5 cannot be [2]-1-complemented. As an intermediate step of independent interest, we will consider a variant of these spaces, using the Fermions and Clifford algebras. The necessary background on these topics can be found in [4, 20].

We need a few simple facts about noncommutative L^p-spaces and their completely bounded maps. The following definitions extend those given in Section 2 for the Schatten spaces. If M is any semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace φ, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we let $L^p(M,\varphi)$ (or simply $L^p(M)$) denote the associated noncommutative L^p-space. Recall that if we let

$$\|x\|_p = \big(\varphi(|x|^p)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad x \in M,$$

then $L^p(M,\varphi)$ is the completion of the space $\{x \in M \,:\, \|x\|_p < \infty\}$ equipped with $\| \ \|_p$. See e.g. [19] for information on these spaces. If (M_1,φ_1) and (M_2,φ_2) are two semifinite von Neumann algebra, let $M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2$ denote the von Neumann algebra tensor product and let $\varphi_1\overline{\otimes}\varphi_2$ denote the associated semifinite faithful trace. Then

$$L^p(M_1,\varphi_1) \otimes L^p(M_2,\varphi_2) \subset L^p(M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2, \varphi_1\overline{\otimes}\varphi_2)$$

is a dense subspace. For any closed subspace $X \subset L^p(M_2,\varphi_2)$, we denote by

$$L^p(M_1,\varphi_1) \overset{p}{\otimes} X \subset L^p(M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2, \varphi_1\overline{\otimes}\varphi_2)$$

the closure of $L^p(M_1,\varphi_1) \otimes X$ in $L^p(M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2, \varphi_1\overline{\otimes}\varphi_2)$.

If $u\colon X \to Y$ is any bounded linear map between two subspaces of noncommutative L^p-spaces, we define $\|u\|_n$ and $\|u\|_{cb}$ by (2.1) and (2.3). Then the terminology introduced in the second paragraph of Section 2 also extends to this context. Again we refer to [17] for the connections with operator space theory and further information. We will use repeatedly the following well-known easy fact.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that* (M_1,φ_1) *and* (M_2,φ_2) *are finite von Neumann algebras and let* $\pi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ *be a one-to-one* $*$*-representation. Let* $\delta > 0$ *be a constant and assume that* $\varphi_2(\pi(x)) = \delta\varphi_1(x)$ *for any* $x \in M_1$*. Then for any* $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\delta^{-p}\pi$ *(uniquely) extends to a complete isometry from* $L^p(M_1)$ *into* $L^p(M_2)$*.*

For any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we write tr for the usual trace on $B(\mathcal{H})$. In the sequel, the semifinite von Neumann algebras we will meet will be either finite dimensional ones or the Schatten spaces $S^p(\mathcal{H}) = L^p(B(\mathcal{H}), tr)$.

Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. For convenience we write 1 for the identity operator on $B(\Lambda_N)$. Recall that the Fermions $\omega_j = c_{N,j} + c_{N,j}^* \in B(\Lambda_n)$ are selfadjoint unitaries which anticommute, that is,

$$\forall\, j, \quad \omega_j^2 = 1 \ \text{ and } \ \omega_j^* = \omega_j; \qquad \forall\, j \neq j', \quad \omega_j\omega_{j'} = -\omega_{j'}\omega_j.$$

These properties will be used throughout without any further comments. As an immediate consequence, we have

$$(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{N-1}\omega_N)^2 \,=\, (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}\,. \tag{5.1}$$

The Clifford algebra with N generators is the C^*-algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_N \,=\, C^*\big\langle \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N \big\rangle \subset B(\Lambda_N)$$

generated by the first N Fermions. The dimension of $\mathcal{C}_N$ is equal to 2^N. More precisely, for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_N$ (the set of all subsets of $\{1,\ldots,N\}$), set $\omega_A = \omega_{i_1}\cdots\omega_{i_\ell}$, when $A = \{i_1,\ldots,i_\ell\}$ and $i_1 < \cdots < i_\ell$. By convention, $\omega_\emptyset = 1$. Then $\{\omega_A \,:\, A \in \mathcal{P}_N\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}_N$.

Recall that $\Omega \in \Lambda_N$ denotes the vacuum vector. The functional $Tr\colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$Tr(x) = \langle x(\Omega), \Omega\rangle, \qquad x \in \mathcal{C}_N,$$

is a normalized trace on $\mathcal{C}_N$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we let $L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)$ denote the associated noncommutative L^p-space. In the sequel, by an orthogonal projection $\mathcal{C}_N \to \mathcal{C}_N$, we will simply mean a projection which is orthogonal on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{C}_N)$. It turns out (easy to check) that $\{\omega_A \, : \, A \in \mathcal{P}_N\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathcal{C}_N)$.

We will focus on the operator space

$$E_N = \mathrm{Span}\{1, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N\} \subset \mathcal{C}_N.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $P \colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \mathcal{C}_N$ be the orthogonal projection onto E_N. Then*

$$\big\| P \colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \big\| = 1.$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. We will first show that P is positive. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_N$ with $x \geq 0$. In particular x is selfadjoint. Since E_N and $E_N^\perp$ are both selfadjoint subspaces of $\mathcal{C}_N$, this implies that $P(x)$ is selfadjoint as well. Thus there exist real numbers $\alpha, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N$ such that

$$P(x) = \alpha 1 \, + \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j \omega_j \, .$$

Let

$$\beta = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega = \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j \omega_j,$$

if $\beta \neq 0$. Since the β_j's are real, we have $\omega^* = \omega$ and the anticommutation relations yield

$$\omega^2 = \beta^{-2} \sum_{j,j'} \beta_j \beta_{j'} \omega_j \omega_{j'} \; = \; \beta^{-2} \sum_j \beta_j^2 \; = 1.$$

Thus ω is a selfadjoint unitary. Let $q_+ = 2^{-1}(1 + \omega)$ and $q_- = 2^{-1}(1 - \omega)$. Then q_+ and q_- are orthogonal projections with sum $q_+ + q_- = 1$ and

$$P(x) = (\alpha + \beta) q_+ \, + \, (\alpha - \beta) q_-.$$

Since $Tr(\omega_j) = 0$ for any j, we have $Tr(\omega) = 0$. Hence $Tr(q_+) = 1/2$. Consequently,

$$Tr(xq_+) = Tr(xP(q_+)) = Tr(P(x)q_+) = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \, .$$

Since $x \geq 0$, we have $Tr(xq_+) = Tr(q_+ x q_+) \geq 0$ hence we have proved that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$. Likewise, $\alpha - \beta \geq 0$ and we deduce that $P(x) \geq 0$. The argument works as well if $\beta = 0$.

We have shown that the map $P \colon \mathcal{C}_N \to C_N$ is positive. Since it is unital, it is a contraction (see e.g. [16, Cor. 2.9]). Since P is selfadjoint, we obtain for free that $P \colon L^1(\mathcal{C}_N) \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$ also is a contraction. We deduce by interpolation that $P \colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \to L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)$ is a contraction for any $1 < p < \infty$. Indeed, $L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) = [\mathcal{C}_N, L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)]_{\frac{1}{p}}$, where $[\ ,\ ]_\theta$ denotes the complex interpolation method, see e.g. [19] for details. □

We shall now discuss several facts depending on the parity of N. It is well-known that for any integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n} \simeq M_{2^n} \qquad * \text{-isomorphically.} \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover this identification induces an isometric identification

$$L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \simeq S^p_{2^n}$$

for any $p \geq 1$. Indeed if $\pi\colon M_{2^n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ is the canonical $*$-isomorphism, then we have $Tr(\pi(x)) = 2^{-n}tr(x)$ for any $x \in M_{2^n}$. This implies by Lemma 5.1 that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$2^{\frac{n}{p}}\,\pi\colon S^p_{2^n} \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \qquad \text{is a complete isometry.}$$

We now consider the odd case. For any $n \geq 1$, we set

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{2}\big(1 + i^n\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1}\big) \in \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}. \tag{5.3}$$

From (5.1) we have $(i^n\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1})^2 = 1$, hence ρ_n is a (non trivial) selfadjoint projection. Moreover the anti-commutation relations imply that

$$(\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1})\omega_j = \omega_j(\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1})$$

for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1$. Thus $\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1}$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$ and ρ_n is therefore central. This induces a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n+1} \,=\, \rho_n\mathcal{C}_{2n+1} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} (1-\rho_n)\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}. \tag{5.4}$$

Regarding $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$ in the obvious way, we have

$$\rho_n\mathcal{C}_{2n} = \rho_n\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}. \tag{5.5}$$

Indeed note that $\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$ is spanned by $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ and the set $\{\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} \,:\, A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}\}$. Thus to get this equality, it suffices to check that for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$, we have $\rho_n\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} \in \rho_n\mathcal{C}_{2n}$. We have

$$2\rho_n\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} = \omega_A\omega_{2n+1} + i^n\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n+1}\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} = \omega_A\omega_{2n+1} + i^n(-1)^{|A|}\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_A.$$

Let $y = i^n(-1)^{|A|}\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_A$. Then $y \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} i^n\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n+1}y &= (-1)^n(-1)^{|A|}\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_{2n+1}\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n}\omega_A \\ &= (-1)^n(\omega_1\cdots\omega_{2n})^2\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} \\ &= \omega_A\omega_{2n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (5.1). Hence $\rho_n\omega_A\omega_{2n+1} = \rho_n y$, which proves the result.

Since $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ is simple, the $*$-representation

$$\pi_0\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}, \qquad x \mapsto \rho_n x,$$

is one-to-one. The equality we just proved shows that its range is equal to $\rho_n\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$. Likewise, the $*$-representation

$$\pi_1\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}, \qquad x \mapsto (1-\rho_n)x,$$

is a $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ onto $(1-\rho_n)\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$. Hence the decomposition (5.4) induces $*$-isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n+1} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2n} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \mathcal{C}_{2n} \simeq M_{2^n} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} M_{2^n}. \tag{5.6}$$

We observe that $Tr(\pi_0(x)) = Tr(\pi_1(x)) = \frac{1}{2}Tr(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$. By Lemma 5.1, this implies that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$2^{\frac{1}{p}}\pi_0,\ 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\pi_1\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}) \qquad \text{are complete isometries.} \tag{5.7}$$

This yields canonical isometric identifications

$$L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}) \simeq L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{p}{\oplus} L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \simeq S^p_{2^n} \overset{p}{\oplus} S^p_{2^n}.$$

We introduce

$$F_n = \text{Span}\{1, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}, \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}\} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$$

This operator space is closely related to E_{2n+1}. Indeed owing to the calculation we made to prove (5.5), we have

$$\rho_n \omega_{2n+1} = \rho_n(i^n \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}). \tag{5.8}$$

Hence $\pi_0(F_n) = \rho_n E_{2n+1}$. Likewise, we have

$$(1-\rho_n)\omega_{2n+1} = -(1-\rho_n)(i^n \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}), \tag{5.9}$$

and $\pi_1(F_n) = (1-\rho_n)E_{2n+1}$. Arguing as in Lemma 5.2, we have the following.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $Q\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the orthogonal projection onto F_n. Then*

$$\big\| Q\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \big\| = 1$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

For any $p \geq 1$, we let E_N^p denote the space E_N regarded as a subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)$. Likewise for any $n \geq 1$ we let F_n^p denote the space F_n regarded as a subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$. We define a 'transpose map'

$$\tau\colon F_n \longrightarrow F_n$$

by letting $\tau(1) = 1$, $\tau(\omega_j) = \omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, and $\tau(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = -\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}$. The following fact will be used later on in this section.

Lemma 5.4. *Consider*

$$\theta = \pi_1 \tau \pi_0^{-1}\colon \rho_n E_{2n+1} \longrightarrow (1-\rho_n)E_{2n+1}.$$

Then $\theta(\rho_n) = 1-\rho_n$ and $\theta(\rho_n\omega_j) = (1-\rho_n)\omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1$.

Proof. Only the relation $\theta(\rho_n\omega_{2n+1}) = (1-\rho_n)\omega_{2n+1}$ needs a proof. This follows from (5.8) and (5.9). ☐

Remark 5.5.

(1) In the case when $n = 1$, we have $F_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$. Consider the so-called Pauli matrices defined by

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the $*$-isomorphism $\pi\colon M_2 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ yielding (5.2) in the case $n = 1$ is defined by $\pi(1) = 1$, $\pi(a) = \omega_1$, $\pi(b) = \omega_2$ and $\pi(c) = \omega_1\omega_2$. Thus $\tau\colon F_1 \to F_1$ corresponds to the classical transpose map of M_2.

(2) Let $\tau'\colon F_n \to F_n$ be defined by letting $\tau'(1) = 1$, $\tau'(\omega_j) = -\omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, and $\tau'(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = -\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}$. Let Q be the projection introduced in Lemma 5.3. Adapting the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2, one obtains that $\tau' Q\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ is a positive, unital, selfadjoint operator, and hence that $\tau' Q\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \to L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$ is a contraction for any $p \geq 1$. By restriction, we deduce that $\tau'\colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ is a contraction. Since τ' is an involution, this

is actually an isometry. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the $*$-isomorphism taking ω_j to $-\omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. Then $\pi\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \to L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$ is a complete isometry for any p (see Lemma 5.1) and $\pi\tau' = \tau$. We deduce that for any $p \geq 1$, τ is an isometry on F_n^p.

However in general, $\tau\colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ is not a complete isometry. Indeed by (1) above and Proposition 3.2, $\tau\colon F_1^p \to F_1^p$ is not completely contractive unless $p = 2$. The question whether $\tau\colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ is a complete isometry is a key issue for our understanding of the F_n^p's as operator spaces. This will be discussed in details in Section 7 below.

(3) Let $\sigma\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the (necessarily unique) anti-$*$-isomorphism such that $\sigma(\omega_j) = \omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. According to (5.1), we have $\sigma(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = (-1)^n \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}$. Thus the restriction $\sigma_{|F_n}$ is equal to τ if n is odd and is equal to I_{F_n} if n is even.

Let $F_n^{\rm op}$ be the space F_n equipped with the opposite operator space structure (see e.g. [18, Section 2.10]). The mapping σ is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ into the opposite C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{\rm op}$, and $F_n^{\rm op} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{\rm op}$ completely isometrically. Hence by the above paragraph, $\tau\colon F_n \to F_n^{\rm op}$ is a complete isometry if n is odd whereas $I_{F_n}\colon F_n \to F_n^{\rm op}$ is a complete isometry if n is even.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and let $P\colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \mathcal{C}_N$ be the orthogonal projection onto E_N. Then for any $1 \leq p \neq 2 \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|P\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)\|_2 > 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Span}\{1, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1\omega_2\} \subset \mathcal{C}_N$ and let $\mathcal{A}^p$ be this space regarded as a subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)$. Then P maps $\mathcal{A}$ onto its subspace $\mathrm{Span}\{1, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$. Under the identification given in Remark 5.5 (1), the latter space coincides with the space symmetric 2×2 matrices and we deduce that

$$\bigl\|P_{|\mathcal{A}}\colon \mathcal{A}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^p\bigr\|_2 \,=\, \bigl\|P_s\colon S_2^p \longrightarrow S_2^p\bigr\|_2,$$

where P_s denotes the canonical projection onto $\mathcal{S}_2^p$. The result therefore follows from Proposition 3.2. $\square$

For any operators $a_1 \in S^p(H_1)$ and $a_2 \in S^p(H_2)$, with $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$, let us consider the following analog of (2.12):

$$G = \bigl\{(x \otimes a_1, \tau(x) \otimes a_2) \,:\, x \in F_n^p\bigr\} \subset (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H_1)) \overset{p}{\oplus} (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H_2)). \tag{5.10}$$

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$ and that $n \geq 2$. Then the above space G is 1-complemented but is not [2]-1-complemented.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\|a_1\|^p + \|a_2\|^p = 1$ and let $t = \|a_1\|^p$. Recall the projection Q from Lemma 5.3. We let

$$R\colon (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \otimes a_1) \overset{p}{\otimes} (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \otimes a_2) \longrightarrow (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \otimes a_1) \overset{p}{\otimes} (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \otimes a_2)$$

be the linear mapping defined by

$$R(z_1 \otimes a_1, z_2 \otimes a_2) \,=\, \bigl((tQ(z_1) + (1-t)\tau Q(z_2)) \otimes a_1, (t\tau Q(z_1) + (1-t)Q(z_2)) \otimes a_2\bigr),$$

for any $z_1, z_2 \in L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$. This definition is an analog of (2.9). Arguing as in Section 2 and using Lemma 5.3, we obtain that R is a contractive projection.

To show that G is not [2]-1-complemented, it suffices by Remark 2.9 to show that the above mapping R is not [2]-contractive. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 5.6. Again we consider $\mathcal{A} = \text{Span}\{1, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1\omega_2\}$ and we note that since $n \geq 2$, $\tau Q(z) = Q(z)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$R(z \otimes a_1, z \otimes a_2) \,=\, (Q(z) \otimes a_1, Q(z) \otimes a_2), \qquad z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|R\|_2 \geq \big\|Q\colon \mathcal{A}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^p\big\|_2 = \big\|P_s\colon S_2^p \longrightarrow S_2^p\big\|_2,$$

and the latter norm is > 1 by Proposition 3.2. ☐

Remark 5.8.

(1) As a special case ($a_1 = a, a_2 = 0$), we obtain that for any $n \geq 2$ and any non zero $a \in S^p(H)$, the space

$$F_n \otimes a \subset L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H)$$

is not [2]-1-complemented for $p \neq 2$. Equivalently,

$$\|Q\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{C}_N)\|_2 > 1$$

whenever $p \neq 2$.

(2) It follows from Proposition 5.6 that for any $N \geq 2$ and non zero $a \in S^p(H)$, the space

$$E_N \otimes a \subset L^p(\mathcal{C}_N) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H)$$

is not [2]-1-complemented for $p \neq 2$.

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 5.11 below. We need more information on spin systems. We noticed in Section 2 that for any $N \geq 1$, the Fermions $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N)$ form a spin system. Also it follows from (5.1) that for any $n \geq 1$, the $(2n+1)$-tuple $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}, i^n\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n})$ is a spin system. The next lemma shows that these are essentially the only examples.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $n \geq 1$ be any integer.*

1. *Let $(s_1, \ldots, s_{2n})$ be a spin system with an even cardinal. There is a (necessarily unique) $*$-isomorphism*

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \longrightarrow C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle$$

such that $\pi(\omega_j) = s_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$.

2. *Let $(s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1})$ be a spin system with an odd cardinal and let*

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\big(1 + i^n s_1 \cdots s_{2n}s_{2n+1}\big).$$

If $q \notin \{0,1\}$, then there is a (necessarily unique) $*$-isomorphism

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n+1} \longrightarrow C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle$$

such that $\pi(\omega_j) = s_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1$. In this case, we have $\pi(\rho_n) = q$.

Note that in (2) above, $q = 0$ if and only if $s_{2n+1} = -i^n s_1 \cdots s_{2n}$. In this case (1) ensures that there is a $*$-isomorphism $\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle$ such that $\pi(\omega_j) = s_j$ for any $j \leq 2n$ and $\pi(i^n\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = s_{2n+1}$. A similar comment applies when $q = 1$.

For simplicity, we now let c_j (instead of $c_{n,j}$) denote the creation operators on Λ_n and recall that we defined $\omega_j = c_j + c_j^*$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Next we let

$$\omega_{-j} = \frac{c_j - c_j^*}{i} \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{5.11}$$

It is well-known (and easy to check) that the $2n$-tuple $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, \omega_{-1}, \ldots, \omega_{-n})$ is a spin system.

Proof of Lemma 5.9. Let $(s_1, \ldots, s_{2n})$ be an arbitrary spin system and set

$$v_j = \frac{s_j + i s_{n+j}}{2}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

These operators satisfy the so-called canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR), that is,

$$v_i v_j^* + v_j^* v_i = \delta_{i,j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_i v_j + v_j v_i = 0$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The creation operators $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ satisfy the CAR as well hence according to e.g. [4, p. 15], there is a $*$-isomorphism $\pi\colon C^*\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n\rangle \to C^*\langle v_1, \ldots, v_n\rangle$ such that $\pi(c_j) = v_j$ for all j. Equivalently,

$$\pi\colon C^*\langle \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, \omega_{-1}, \ldots, \omega_{-n}\rangle \longrightarrow C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle$$

is a $*$-isomorphism which satisfies $\pi(\omega_j) = s_j$ and $\pi(\omega_{-j}) = s_{n+j}$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The assertion (1) follows at once.

Now let $(s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})$ be a spin system with an odd cardinal, and let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}, \omega_{2n+1}$ be the usual Fermions. Suppose that $q \notin \{0, 1\}$. Then we can mimic what we did before with Fermions and we obtain that q is a central projection of $C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle$, and that we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle &= qC^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle \overset{\infty}{\oplus} (1-q)C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle \\ &\simeq C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle \overset{\infty}{\oplus} C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then using the $*$-isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_{2n} \to C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n}\rangle$ given by (1), we deduce the desired $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_{2n+1}$ onto $C^*\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{2n+1}\rangle$. ☐

As in Section 2, we let $P_n\colon \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_n$ be the orthogonal projection onto the space generated by tensor products of even rank.

Lemma 5.10. *With the notation introduced before Lemma 5.9, we have*

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2}\big(1 + i^n \omega_n \omega_{-n} \cdots \omega_1 \omega_{-1}\big).$$

Proof. Let $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and recall (2.10). For any $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\omega_j \omega_{-j} = -i(c_j + c_j^*)(c_j - c_j^*) = i(c_j c_j^* - c_j^* c_j).$$

Hence $\omega_j\omega_{-j}(e_A) = ie_A$ if $j \in A$, and $\omega_j\omega_{-j}(e_A) = -ie_A$ if $j \notin A$. Consequently

$$\omega_n\omega_{-n}\cdots\omega_1\omega_{-1}(e_A) = (-1)^{n-|A|}i^n e_A,$$

which implies the result. □

Theorem 5.11. *Let* $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ *with* $\dim(X) \geq 5$ *and* $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$. *If* X *is a spinorial space, then* X *is not* [2]-1-*complemenented.*

Proof. We first consider spinorial spaces with an even dimension. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. By Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.7, it suffices to show that the space Z given by (2.12) is not [2]-1-complemented.

We need some preliminary observations concerning AH_n and BH_n which will lead to a formal relationship between Z and the space G given by (5.10), with $(n-1)$ instead of n. Using the notation (5.11), we have

$$AH_n \,=\, \text{Span}\{\omega_j P_n,\ \omega_{-j}P_n \,:\, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

For any $j \in \{-n,\ldots,-1\} \cup \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ we let $w'_j = i\omega_n\omega_j$. Then $\omega_j = i\omega'_j\omega_n$ and for any $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, we have $\omega_j\omega_{-j} = \omega'_j\omega'_{-j}$. Applying Lemma 5.10, this yields

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2}\big(1 + i^{n-1}\omega'_{-n}\omega'_{n-1}\omega'_{-(n-1)}\cdots\omega'_1\omega'_{-1}\big). \tag{5.12}$$

Let $W\colon B(\Lambda_n) \to B(\Lambda_n)$ be the left multiplication by $i\omega_n$. Later on we will use the obvious fact that

$$W\colon S^p(\Lambda_n) \longrightarrow S^p(\Lambda_n) \qquad \text{is a complete isometry.} \tag{5.13}$$

According to the above expression of P_n, the action of W on AH_n is given by

$$W(\omega_j P_n) = \omega'_j\Big(\frac{1 + i^{n-1}\omega'_{-n}\omega'_{n-1}\cdots\omega'_1\omega'_{-1}}{2}\Big) \tag{5.14}$$

if j belongs to $\{-n,\ldots,-1\} \cup \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$, and

$$W(\omega_n P_n) = i\,\Big(\frac{1 + i^{n-1}\omega'_{-n}\omega'_{n-1}\cdots\omega'_1\omega'_{-1}}{2}\Big). \tag{5.15}$$

It is easy to check that the $(2n-1)$-tuple $(\omega'_{-n}, \omega'_{n-1}, \omega'_{-(n-1)}, \ldots, \omega'_1, \omega'_{-1})$ is a spin system. Moreover the product of these spins is

$$\omega'_{-n}\omega'_{n-1}\omega'_{-(n-1)}\cdots\omega'_1\omega'_{-1} \,=\, i\omega_n\omega_{-n}\omega_{n-1}\omega_{-(n-1)}\cdots\omega_1\omega_{-1},$$

which is not a multiple of 1. Thus by Lemma 5.9 (2), there is a faithful $*$-representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n-1} \to B(\Lambda_n)$ such that

$$\pi(\omega_1) = \omega'_{-n},\ \pi(\omega_2) = \omega'_{n-1},\ \ldots,\ \pi(\omega_{2n-2}) = \omega'_1,\ \pi(\omega_{2n-1}) = \omega'_{-1}.$$

Furthermore, $tr(\pi(\omega_A)) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-1} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Thus $tr(\pi(x)) = 2^n Tr(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{C}_{2n-1}$ and we deduce (by Lemma 5.1) that

$$2^{-\frac{n}{p}}\pi\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n-1}) \longrightarrow S^p(\Lambda_n) \quad \text{is a complete isometry.} \tag{5.16}$$

Since π is multiplicative, we see that $\pi(\rho_{n-1}) = P_n$ by comparing (5.3) and (5.12). Consequently, we have

$$\pi(\rho_{n-1}E_{2n-1}) = W(AH_n).$$

Also we have

$$\pi\big((1-\rho_{n-1})E_{2n-1}\big) = W(BH_n)$$

and a thorough look at (5.14) and (5.15) actually shows that

$$\pi^{-1}W\kappa W^{-1}\pi\colon \rho_{n-1}E_{2n-1} \longrightarrow (1-\rho_{n-1})E_{2n-1}$$

is nothing but the mapping which takes $\rho_{n-1}y$ to $(1-\rho_{n-1})y$ for any $y \in E_{2n-1}$.

As before we let $\pi_0, \pi_1\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n-2} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n-1}$ be the left multiplications by ρ_{n-1} and $(1-\rho_{n-1})$, respectively. Then Lemma 5.4, with $(n-1)$ instead of n, yields the relation

$$\tau = (\pi_1^{-1}\pi^{-1}W) \circ \kappa \circ (W^{-1}\pi\pi_0)\colon F_{n-1} \longrightarrow F_{n-1}. \tag{5.17}$$

Set $\Gamma_i = W^{-1}\pi\pi_i\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n-2} \to B(\Lambda_n)$, for $i = 1, 2$. It follows from (5.7), (5.13) and (5.16) that

$$2^{-\frac{(n-1)}{p}}\,\Gamma_0,\ 2^{-\frac{(n-1)}{p}}\,\Gamma_1\colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}) \longrightarrow S^p(\Lambda_n) \quad \text{are complete isometries.} \tag{5.18}$$

Let us now assume that Z is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_1) \overset{p}{\oplus} S^p(\Lambda_n \overset{2}{\otimes} H_2)$. Then by (5.18), the space

$$\big\{(\Gamma_0^{-1}(x)\otimes a_1, \Gamma_1^{-1}\kappa(x)\otimes a_2)\ :\ x \in AH_n^p\big\} \subset (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H_1)) \overset{p}{\oplus} (L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}) \overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(H_2))$$

is [2]-1-complemented as well. According to (5.17), this space coincides with the space G of (5.10). By Proposition 5.7, we obtain a contradiction.

The proof for the spinorial spaces of odd dimension is similar, using Remark 5.8 (2) in the place of Proposition 5.7. We skip the details. $\square$

Remark 5.12. It follows from Proposition 5.7, its subsequent remark and the proof of Theorem 5.11 that any spinorial space is 1-complemented. Also it follows from Remark 5.5 (2) and the proof of Theorem 5.11 that the exchange map κ defined by (2.11) is an isometry on AH_n^p for any p.

6. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we state our main results and prove Theorem 1.1, mostly by combining results proved in the last three sections. Throughout we assume that $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be an indecomposable subspace. The following are equivalent.*

(i) *X is completely 1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.*

(ii) *X is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.*

(iii) *There exist index sets I, J and an operator $a \in S^p(H)$ such that*

$$X \sim S^p_{I,J} \otimes a.$$

Proof. The implication ‘$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$’ is obvious, and ‘$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$’ follows from Lemma 2.3. To prove the hard implication ‘$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$’, assume that X is [2]-1-complemented in $S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and that $\dim(X) > 1$. By Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 5.11, X is neither a spinorial space of dimension ≥ 5 nor a space of symmetric or anti-symmetric matrices. Hence according to the Arazy-Friedman Theorem 2.8, X is either a space of rectangular matrices or is equivalent to a finite dimensional Hilbert space of the form (2.14). In the latter case, Proposition 4.2

ensures that X is actually equivalent to a space of rectangular matrices. Then Proposition 3.1 finally shows that X satisfies (iii). $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *Let $(I_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$ be two families of indices, and let*

$$u\colon \overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p_{I_\alpha,J_\alpha} \longrightarrow S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$$

be a complete isometry. Then the range of u is completely 1-complemented.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{K}$. First consider the case when the family is a singleton, that is, we have index sets I,J and a complete isometry $u\colon S^p_{I,J}\to S^p(\mathcal{H})$, and we wish to show that its range is completely 1-complemented. In the 'square case', that is, $I=J$, this is a special case of [9, Prop. 3.3]. In fact it can also be quickly deduced from [1, Th. 2.1]. More generally, it is not hard to deduce the result from the latter reference if $I\geq 2$ and $J\geq 2$. The sequel of the proof is necessary only to treat the case when I or J is equal to 1, although we will write it for general I,J. We will show how to reduce to the 'square case'.

We may consider a complete isometry $v\colon S^p_{J,I}\to S^p(\mathcal{H})$. For example the mappping v defined by $v(x)={}^t[u({}^tx)]$ for any $x\in S^p_{J,I}$ is a complete isometry (here '^{t}' stands for the transposition). Recall that

$$S^p_{I\times J}\simeq S^p_{I,J}\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{J,I}\simeq S^p_{J,I}\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{I,J}.$$

Hence the tensor map $u\otimes v$ extends to a complete isometry

$$u\overline{\otimes}v\colon S^p_{I\times J}\longrightarrow S^p(\mathcal{H})\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(\mathcal{H})\simeq S^p(\mathcal{H}\overset{2}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}).$$

We know from the above discussion that the range of $u\overline{\otimes}v$ is completely 1-complemented. Thus there exists a completely contractive mapping

$$w\colon S^p(\mathcal{H})\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p(\mathcal{H})\longrightarrow S^p_{I,J}\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{J,I}$$

such that $Q\circ u\overline{\otimes}v$ is the identity of $S^p_{I,J}\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{J,I}$. Let $z\in S^p_{J,I}$ and $z^*\in(S^p_{J,I})^*$ such that $\langle z^*,z\rangle=\|z\|=\|z^*\|=1$. By e.g. [5, Cor. 2.2.3], z^* is a complete contraction on $S^p_{J,I}$ hence $Id\otimes z^*\colon S^p_{I,J}\otimes S^p_{J,I}\to S^p_{I,J}$ extends to a complete contraction

$$Id\overline{\otimes}z^*\colon S^p_{I,J}\overset{p}{\otimes} S^p_{J,I}\longrightarrow S^p_{I,J}.$$

Let $\widetilde{w}\colon S^p(\mathcal{H})\to S^p_{I,J}$ be defined by

$$\widetilde{w}(y)=\big[(Id\overline{\otimes}z^*)\circ w\big](y\otimes v(z)),\qquad y\in S^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

This is a completely contractive map and for any $x\in S^p_{I,J}$, we have

$$\widetilde{w}\circ u(x)=\big[(Id\overline{\otimes}z^*)\circ w\big](u(x)\otimes v(z))=Id\otimes z^*(x\otimes z)=x.$$

Thus $\widetilde{w}\circ u$ is the identity of $S^p_{I,J}$, which proves the result.

We now consider the general case and we will apply results on orthogonality reviewed in Section 2. Let u be as in the proposition and for any α, let $X_\alpha=u(S^p_{I_\alpha,J_\alpha})\subset S^p(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$. Since u is an isometry, it follows from (2.5) that the X_α's are pairwise orthogonal. Thus there exist pairwise orthogonal closed subspaces $H_\alpha\subset\mathcal{H}$ as well as pairwise orthogonal closed subspaces $K_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $X_\alpha \subset S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$. It follows from the first part of this proof that for any α, there is a completely contractive projection

$$P_\alpha \colon S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha) \longrightarrow S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$$

whose range equals X_α. Moreover the p-direct sum $\overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} S^p(H_\alpha, K_\alpha) \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is the range of a completely contractive projection $Q \colon S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. We can now define a mapping $P \colon S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \to S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by letting

$$P(z) = \big(P_\alpha(Q(z))\big)_\alpha, \qquad z \in S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}).$$

Clearly P is a completely contractive projection whose range is equal to the range of u. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The implication '*(iii)* $\Rightarrow$ *(iv)*' follows from Lemma 2.3, '*(iv)* $\Rightarrow$ *(i)*' is given by Proposition 6.2 and '*(i)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*' is obvious. Now assume (ii). By [3, Prop. 2.2], X can be written as the p-direct sum $X = \overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} X_\alpha$ of pairwise orthogonal indecomposable subspaces. Then it is plain that each X_α is [2]-1-complemented as well. Applying Theorem 6.1 and an obvious direct sum argument, we deduce that (iii) holds true. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that if $X \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $Y \subset S^p(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{K}')$ are completely isometric, then X is completely 1-complemented if and only if Y is completely 1-complemented.

Remark 6.3. Let $X \subset B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a w^*-closed subspace. Using Theorem 1.1 for $p = 1$ and an elementary duality argument, we find that if X is the range of a w^*-continuous completely contractive projection $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \to B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then there exist two families of Hilbert spaces $(H_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(K_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that X is completely isometrically and w^*-homeomorphically isomorphic to $\overset{\infty}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} B(H_\alpha, K_\alpha)$.

The converse does not hold true. Indeed there is an example in [6, Section 3] of a w^*-continuous complete isometry $u \colon B(H) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ whose range cannot be the range of a w^*-continuous completely contractive projection $B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$.

For the sake of completeness, we note the following related result going back to [21]: A von Neumann algebra $M \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is the range of a w^*-continuous contractive projection if and only if it can be written as

$$M \simeq \overset{\infty}{\underset{\alpha}{\oplus}} B(H_\alpha),$$

where '$\simeq$' indicates a von Neumann algebra identification. It turns out that the same result holds true without the word 'contractive', see [10, Remark 4.7].

7. Transpose map on the spin factor

We recall that for any integer $n \geq 1$, the transpose map $\tau \colon F_n \to F_n$ is the linear isometry defined by

$$\tau(1) = 1, \quad \tau(\omega_j) = \omega_j \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \ldots, 2n, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}) = -\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}.$$

In this section we consider the question whether τ is a complete contraction (equivalently, a complete isometry) on F_n^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, or on $F_n = F_n^\infty \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$, and we give applications.

In the sequel we use the notation

$$s_0 = 1, \quad s_j = \omega_j \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \ldots, 2n, \quad \text{and} \quad s_{2n+1} = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}. \tag{7.1}$$

For any $(2n+2)$-tuple of signs $\Theta = (\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{2n+1}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{2n+2}$, one can more generally consider the map $\tau_\Theta \colon F_n \to F_n$ defined by

$$\tau_\Theta(s_j) = \theta_j s_j \quad \text{for any } j = 0, \ldots, 2n+1.$$

The completely bounded norm of this map only depends on the parity of the number of minus signs in the sequence Θ. Indeed let $\pi \colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the $*$-isomorphism taking ω_j to $\theta_0\theta_j\omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$ and recall that $\pi \colon L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \to L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$ is a complete isometry for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $\theta_0\tau_\Theta$ is equal to the restriction of π to F_n if $\theta_0\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n+1} = 1$ and is equal to $\tau \circ \pi_{|F_n}$ if $\theta_0\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n+1} = -1$. Hence for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\tau_\Theta \colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ is a complete isometry if $\theta_0\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n+1} = 1$ whereas $\|\tau_\Theta \colon F_n^p \to F_n^p\|_{cb} = \|\tau \colon F_n^p \to F_n^p\|_{cb}$ if $\theta_0\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n+1} = -1$.

We start with a precise estimate in the case $p = \infty$. Later on we will find the same estimate for $p = 1$.

Proposition 7.1. *For any $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\bigl\|\tau \colon F_n \longrightarrow F_n\bigr\|_{cb} = \frac{n+1}{n}\,.$$

Proof. For any $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n+1$, we let $\pi_j \colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ be the $*$-representation defined by letting $\pi_j(x) = s_j^* x s_j$ for any $x \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$. Of course, π_0 is just the identity map. It is easy to check that for any set $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ and for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j(\omega_A) = \omega_j\omega_A\omega_j \ &= \omega_A && \text{if } |A| \text{ is even and } j \notin A;\\ &= -\omega_A && \text{if } |A| \text{ is even and } j \in A;\\ &= -\omega_A && \text{if } |A| \text{ is odd and } j \notin A;\\ &= \omega_A && \text{if } |A| \text{ is odd and } j \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\pi_{2n+1}(\omega_A) = \omega_{2n} \cdots \omega_1\omega_A\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n} = (-1)^{|A|}\omega_A.$$

It follows from these computations that for the $(2n+2)$-tuple $\Theta = (-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ we have

$$2n\tau_\Theta = \pi_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \pi_j\,.$$

Hence according to the discussion above this proposition, we have

$$\bigl\|\tau \colon F_n \longrightarrow F_n\bigr\|_{cb} = \frac{1}{2n}\Bigl\|\pi_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \pi_j \colon F_n \longrightarrow F_n\Bigr\|_{cb}.$$

This yields the above estimate $\|\tau\|_{cb} \leq (n+1)/n$.

We now turn to the lower estimate. By the definition of τ, we have

$$\Bigl\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} s_j \otimes s_j \ + s_{2n+1}^* \otimes s_{2n+1}\Bigr\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{C}_{2n}} \leq \|\tau\|_{cb}\Bigl\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} s_j \otimes s_j \ - s_{2n+1}^* \otimes s_{2n+1}\Bigr\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{C}_{2n}},$$

where $\otimes_{\min}$ stands for the minimal (or spatial) tensor product of C^*-algebras. Since $\mathcal{C}_{2n} \simeq M_{2^n}$ is a matrix space, the bilinear map $\mathcal{C}_{2n} \times \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to B(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n}))$ taking any (a, b) to the mapping $T \to aTb$ (for $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ and $T \in L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$) extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}_{2n} \simeq B\big(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\big). \tag{7.2}$$

In this identification, $s_j^* \otimes s_j$ corresponds to π_j for any $j = 0, \ldots, 2n+1$. Furthermore, it follows from the first part of this proof that each π_j is a diagonal operator with respect to the orthonormal basis $(\omega_A)_{A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}}$, whose eigenvalues are either $+1$ or -1. Moreover if $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ is such that $\pi_j(\omega_A) = \omega_A$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, then $A = \emptyset$. We deduce that the eigenvalues of the diagonal operator $\pi_0 + \cdots + \pi_{2n} - \pi_{2n+1}$ are integers belonging to $[-2n, 2n]$. Thus

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} s_j \otimes s_j \,-\, s_{2n+1}^* \otimes s_{2n+1}\Big\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2n} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}_{2n}} = \Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} \pi_j \,-\, \pi_{2n+1}\Big\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \leq 2n.$$

On the other hand, $\pi_j(\omega_\emptyset) = 1$ for any $j = 0, \ldots, 2n+1$, hence

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} s_j \otimes s_j \,+\, s_{2n+1}^* \otimes s_{2n+1}\Big\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2n} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}_{2n}} = \Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n} \pi_j \,+\, \pi_{2n+1}\Big\|_{L^2 \to L^2} = 2n + 2.$$

Consequently, we have $\|\tau\|_{cb} \geq (n+1)/n$. □

Remark 7.2. For a linear map $u \colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$, the Wittstock factorization theorem asserts that

$$\|u\|_{cb} = \inf\Big\{ \big\|\sum_j a_j^* a_j\big\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \, \big\|\sum_j b_j^* b_j\big\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\},$$

where the infimum runs over all finite families $(a_j)_j$ and $(b_j)_j$ in $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ such that

$$u(x) = \sum_j a_j^* x b_j, \qquad x \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}. \tag{7.3}$$

(See e.g. [5, Sect. 5.3].) The above proof yields an extension $u \colon \mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ of $\tau \colon F_n \to F_n$, as well as a factorization of the type (7.3) such that

$$\|\sum_j a_j^* a_j\| = \|\sum_j b_j^* b_j\| = \|\tau\|_{cb}.$$

Indeed this is obtained by taking

$$a_j = (2n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, s_{2n+1} s_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots 2n+1, \qquad a_{2n+2} = (2n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, s_{2n+2},$$

and then $b_j = a_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1$ and $b_{2n+2} = -a_{2n+2}$.

As an application of the fact that $\tau \colon F_n \to F_n$ is not completely contractive, we will now discuss the operator space structures induced by triple monomorphisms on Cartan factors of type 4. See the last part of Section 2 for a brief account on this class. We recall the well-known fact that for any $N \geq 1$, E_N is a Cartan factor of type 4. Moreover it follows from the discussion in Section 5 that for any $n \geq 1$, the linear maps $u_\pm \colon E_{2n+1} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ defined by $u_\pm(w_{2n+1}) = \pm i^n \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{2n}$, $u_\pm(1) = 1$ and $u_\pm(\omega_j) = \omega_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$ are triple monomorphisms. Thus F_n is a Cartan factor of type 4 and τ is a triple isomorphism.

For any integer $k \geq 1$ and any $z = [z_{ij}] \in M_k \otimes F_n$, with $z_{ij} \in F_n$, we let

$$\|z\|_{M_k(F_n^\tau)} \,=\, \big\|[\tau(z_{ij})]\big\|_{M_k(F_n)}.$$

These matrix norms define an operator space structure on F_n, denoted by F_n^τ. Then we let $F_n \cap F_n^\tau$ be the 'intersection' of these two operator spaces defined by letting

$$\|z\|_{M_k(F_n \cap F_n^\tau)} \,=\, \max\big\{\|z\|_{M_k(F_n)}\,,\ \|z\|_{M_k(F_n^\tau)}\big\}, \qquad k \geq 1,\ z \in M_k \otimes F_n.$$

(See [18, Sect. 2.7 and 2.10].)

Proposition 7.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer.*

1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $u\colon F_n \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a triple monomorphism. Then one of the following three properties holds and they mutually exclude each other. Either $u\colon F_n \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry; or $u\colon F_n^\tau \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry; or $u\colon F_n \cap F_n^\tau \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry.*
2. *Let X be a Cartan factor of type 4, with $\dim(X) = 2n+2$. Then X is completely isometric either to F_n or to E_{2n+1}. Furthermore, F_n and E_{2n+1} are not completely isometric.*
3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Then any triple monomorphism $u\colon E_{2n} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry. Consequently if X is a Cartan factor of type 4, with $\dim(X) = 2n+1$, then X is completely isometric to E_{2n}.*

Proof. (1): Let $u\colon F_n \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a triple monomorphism. We use the description of such mappings established in [2] and given in [3, p. 21] in terms of the so-called irreducible faithful representations. According to this description, and the relationship between AH_{n-1} and F_n discussed in Section 5, there exist Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2, two partial isometries $a_1 \in B(H_1), a_2 \in B(H)$, and two partial isometries

$$U\,, V\colon (\Lambda_{2n} \overset{2}{\otimes} H_1) \overset{2}{\oplus} (\Lambda_{2n} \overset{2}{\otimes} H_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

such that

$$u(x) = V\big(x \otimes a_1, \tau(x) \otimes a_2\big)U^* \quad \text{and} \quad V^*V\big(x \otimes a_1, \tau(x) \otimes a_2\big)U^*U = \big(x \otimes a_1, \tau(x) \otimes a_2\big)$$

for any $x \in F_n$. This readily implies that for any $k \geq 1$ and any $z \in M_k \otimes F_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|(I_{M_k} \otimes u)z\big\|_{M_k(B(\mathcal{H}))} \,&=\, \max\{\|a_1\|\|z\|_{M_k(F_n)}\,,\ \|a_2\|\|(I_{M_k} \otimes \tau)z\|_{M_k(F_n)}\}\\ &=\, \max\{\|a_1\|\|z\|_{M_k(F_n)}\,,\ \|a_2\|\|z\|_{M_k(F_n^\tau)}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|a_i\| \in \{0,1\}$. If $\|a_1\| = 1$ and $\|a_2\| = 0$, then u is a complete isometry on F_n. If $\|a_1\| = 0$ and $\|a_2\| = 1$, then u is a complete isometry on F_n^τ. Finally if $\|a_1\| = \|a_2\| = 1$, then u is a complete isometry on $F_n \cap F_n^\tau$.

The fact that these three cases mutually exclude each other simply means τ is not a complete isometry, which was shown in Proposition 7.1.

(2): We first observe that E_{2n+1} is completely isometric to $F_n \cap F_n^\tau$. This follows from our discussion in Section 5. Indeed if $\pi\colon \mathcal{C}_{2n+1} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ is the $*$-isomorphism given by (5.6), then $\pi(E_{2n+1}) = \{(x, \tau(x)) \,:\, x \in F_n\}$. Since π is a complete isometry, the result follows at once.

Now let X be a Cartan factor of type 4, with $\dim(X) = 2n+2$. It follows from (1) that X is completely isometric either to F_n, to F_n^τ, or to $F_n \cap F_n^\tau$. Since F_n and F_n^τ are completely isometric (via τ), we deduce using the above observation that X is actually completely isometric to either F_n or E_{2n+1}.

It remains to prove that E_{2n+1} is not completely isometric to F_n. We have noticed above that there is a (natural) completely isometric triple isomorphism $J\colon E_{2n+1} \to F_n \cap F_n^\tau$. Let $v\colon E_{2n+1} \to E_{2n+1}$ be an arbitrary linear isometry and recall that this forces v to be a triple isomorphism. Applying part (1) of this proposition to $u = vJ^{-1}$, we obtain that for any $k \geq 1$ and for any $x \in M_k \otimes E_{2n+1}$, $\|(I_{M_k} \otimes v)x\|_{M_k(E_{2n+1})}$ is equal either to $\|x\|_{M_k(E_{2n+1})}$, or to $\|J(x)\|_{M_k(F_n)}$, or to $\|J(x)\|_{M_k(F_n^\tau)}$. In any case, we have

$$\|(I_{M_k} \otimes u)x\|_{M_k(E_{2n+1})} \leq \|x\|_{M_k(E_{2n+1})}$$

Applying the same reasoning to v^{-1} we obtain that v is actually a complete isometry. Thus any isometry of E_{2n+1} is a complete one. Since F_n admits an isometry which is not a complete one (namely, τ), these two spaces cannot be completely isometric.

(3) As in (1), this follows from the description of triple monomorphism $u\colon E_{2n} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ given by [3, p. 21] (and [2]). Indeed there exist a Hilbert space H, a partial isometry $a \in B(H)$, and two partial isometries

$$U\,, V\colon (\Lambda_{2n} \overset{2}{\otimes} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

such that

$$u(x) = V(x \otimes a)U^* \quad \text{and} \quad V^*V(x \otimes a)U^*U = x \otimes a$$

for any $x \in F_n$. This factorization readily implies that u is a complete isometry. $\square$

Remark 7.4. Using the description of triple monomorphisms on Cartan factors of type 1-3 established in [2] and given in [3, p. 21], one obtains analogs of the above proposition for these factors, as follows. See also [11].

(1) Let $H, \mathcal{H}$ be Hilbert spaces. Any triple monomorphism $\mathcal{S}(H) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry. If $\dim H \geq 5$, any triple monomorphism $\mathcal{A}(H) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry.

(2) Let $n, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then for any triple monomorphism $u\colon M_{n,m} \to B(\mathcal{H})$, one of the following three properties holds and they mutually exclude each other. Either $u\colon M_{n,m} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry; or $u\colon M_{n,m}^{\mathrm{op}} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry; or $u\colon M_{n,m} \cap M_{n,m}^{\mathrm{op}} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a complete isometry. Thus if X is a Cartan factor which is triple equivalent to $M_{n,m}$, then X is completely isometric either to $M_{n,m}$ or to $M_{n,m}^{\mathrm{op}}$ or to $M_{n,m} \cap M_{n,m}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Further if $n \neq m$, the latter three spaces are pairwise non completely isometric to each other. Lastly for $n = m$, we note that M_n and M_n^{op} are completely isometric (via the transposition map), whereas M_n and $M_n \cap M_n^{\mathrm{op}}$ are not completely isometric.

Let H, K be Hilbert spaces. The above results extend to the case of triple monomorphisms $u\colon B(H,K) \to B(\mathcal{H})$, provided that H or K is finite dimensional. However the classification of all operator space structures induced by triple monomorphisms $B(H,K) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ when H and K are infinite dimensional is unclear.

For a partial description of all possible operator space structures induced by triple monomorphisms $B(\mathbb{C}, K) \to B(\mathcal{H})$, see [13].

We now turn to the study of $\tau\colon F_n^p \to F_n^p$ for finite p. For any integer $N \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{D}_N$ be the finite set $\{-1,1\}^N$ equipped with its uniform probability measure $\mathbb{P}$, and consider the Rademacher functions $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_N\colon \mathbb{D}_N \to \{-1,1\}$ defined by letting $\varepsilon_j(\Theta) = \theta_j$ for any $\Theta = (\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N)$ in $\mathbb{D}_N$ and any $1 \leq j \leq N$. We will need the following lemma, in which $N = 2n$ and $\|\ \|_p$ stands for the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{D}_{2n})$.

Lemma 7.5. *For any complex numbers $\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2n+1}$, we have*

$$\big\|\tau\colon F_n^p \longrightarrow F_n^p\big\|_{cb} \geq \frac{\Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j\varepsilon_j + \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\Big\|_p}{\Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j\varepsilon_j - \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\Big\|_p}.$$

Proof. This is a continuation of the proof of Proposition 7.1. Let $\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2n+1}$ be complex numbers. We will show that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} \alpha_j s_j^*\otimes s_j\Big\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\overset{p}{\otimes} L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})} = \Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\alpha_j\varepsilon_j + \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\Big\|_p. \tag{7.4}$$

Changing α_{2n+1} into $-\alpha_{2n+1}$ and applying the definition of τ, this implies the result.

We first note that the identification (7.2) induces an isometric isomorphism

$$L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\overset{p}{\otimes} L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \simeq S^p\big(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\big),$$

which yields

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} \alpha_j s_j^*\otimes s_j\Big\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\overset{p}{\otimes} L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})} = \Big(\frac{1}{2^{2n}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}\alpha_j\pi_j\Big\|_{S^p(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n}))}. \tag{7.5}$$

The subspace of $S^p\big(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n})\big)$ of operators which are diagonal with respect to the orthonormal basis $(\omega_A)_{A\in\mathcal{P}_{2n}}$ is equal to $\ell^p_{\mathcal{P}_{2n}}$. To any $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$, let us associate the $2n$-tuple $\Theta_A = (\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_{2n}) \in \mathbb{D}_{2n}$ defined by $\theta_j = 1 \Leftrightarrow j \notin A$. Then it follows from the proof of Proposition 7.1 that

$$\pi_j(\omega_A) = \Big(\varepsilon_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\big)\Big)(\Theta_A)\,\omega_A, \qquad j = 1,\ldots,2n.$$

Thus in the isometric isomorphism $\ell^p_{\mathcal{P}_{2n}} \simeq L^p(\mathbb{D}_{2n})$ induced by the correspondance $A \leftrightarrow \Theta_A$, the diagonal operator π_j corresponds to $\varepsilon_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\big)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Likewise, π_{2n+1} corresponds to $\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i$. Hence

$$\Big(\frac{1}{2^{2n}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}\alpha_j\pi_j\Big\|_{S^p(L^2(\mathcal{C}_{2n}))} = \Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\alpha_j\varepsilon_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\big) + \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\Big\|_p. \tag{7.6}$$

Now set $\eta_j = \varepsilon_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\big)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Then we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\eta_j = \prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j \qquad\text{and}\qquad \varepsilon_j = \eta_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\eta_i\big), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2n.$$

Consider $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{2n}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{2n}$ and let $\theta = \theta_1\theta_2 \cdots \theta_{2n}$ be the product of these ±1. It follows from above that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\{\eta_j = \theta_j \ \forall\, j = 1, \ldots, 2n\}\big) \,&=\, \mathbb{P}\big(\{\varepsilon_j = \theta_j\theta \ \forall\, j = 1, \ldots, 2n\}\big) \\ &=\, \mathbb{P}\big(\{\varepsilon_j = \theta_j \ \forall\, j = 1, \ldots, 2n\}\big) \,=\, \frac{1}{2^{2n}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{2n})$ has the same distribution as $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2n})$, and hence

$$\Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j\varepsilon_j\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\big) + \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\Big\|_p \,=\, \Big\|\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\alpha_j\varepsilon_j + \alpha_{2n+1}\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_i\Big\|_p.$$

Together with (7.5) and (7.6), this implies the equality (7.4). □

Proposition 7.6. *For any $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\big\|\tau\colon F_n^1 \longrightarrow F_n^1\big\|_{cb} \,=\, \frac{n+1}{n}\,.$$

Proof. The upper estimate clearly follows from the proof of Proposition 7.1. For the lower estimate we consider

$$f = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j - (-1)^n\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad g = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j + (-1)^n\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j$$

in $L^1(\mathbb{D}_{2n})$. According to Lemma 7.5, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\|f\|_1}{\|g\|_1} \,=\, \frac{n+1}{n}\,.$$

For any $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$, let $\rho_i\colon L^1(\mathbb{D}_{2n}) \to L^1(\mathbb{D}_{2n})$ be induced by the $*$-representation which takes ε_i to $-\varepsilon_i$ and which takes ε_j to ε_j for any $j \neq i$. Then ρ_i is an isometry and

$$\rho_i\big(\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\big) = -\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j.$$

Then we let $\rho = -\rho_1 \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{2n}$. A few elementary computations (left to the reader) yield

$$2ng \,=\, f \,+\, \sum_{i=1}^{2n}\rho_i(f) \,+ \rho(f).$$

Let k be the $\mathbb{Z}$-valued function on $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$ defined as

$$k = \mathrm{Card}\big\{j \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\} \,:\, \varepsilon_j = 1\big\}$$

and let $m = k - n$. Then

$$f = 1 + k - (2n - k) - (-1)^n(-1)^{2n-k} \,=\, 1 - (-1)^m + 2m,$$

hence f is valued in $4\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover for any $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$, we have

$$f - \rho_i(f) = 2\big(\varepsilon_i - \prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\big),$$

hence $f-\rho_i(f)$ is valued in $\{-4,0,4\}$. Consequently, f and $\rho_i(f)$ have the same sign everywhere on $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$. Likewise f and $\rho(f)$ have the same sign. This implies that

$$\Big\|f+\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\rho_i(f)+\rho(f)\Big\|_1=\|f\|_1+\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\|\rho_i(f)\|_1+\|\rho(f)\|_1.$$

We deduce that $2n\|g\|_1=(2n+2)\|f\|_1$, which concludes the proof. □

Theorem 7.7. *Let $n\geq 1$ be an integer and let $1\leq p<\infty$. The following are equivalent.*

(i) $\|\tau\colon F_n^p\to F_n^p\|_{cb}\leq 1$ *(equivalently, $\tau\colon F_n^p\to F_n^p$ is a complete isometry).*

(ii) *p is an even integer and $2n\geq p$.*

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume that $p=2q$, q is an integer and $q\leq n$. Again we use the notation (7.1). To prove (i), we consider $a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{2n+1}$ in S^p and aim at showing that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n}a_j\otimes s_j+a_{2n+1}\otimes s_{2n+1}\Big\|^p_{S^p[L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})]}=\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{2n}a_j\otimes s_j-a_{2n+1}\otimes s_{2n+1}\Big\|^p_{S^p[L^p(\mathcal{C}_{2n})]}.\tag{7.7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}a_j\otimes s_j\Big|^{2q}&=\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}a_j\otimes s_j\Big)^*\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}a_j\otimes s_j\Big)\cdots\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}a_j\otimes s_j\Big)^*\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1}a_j\otimes s_j\Big)\\&=\sum_{0\leq j_1,\ldots,j_{2q}\leq 2n+1}a_{j_1}^*a_{j_2}\ldots a_{j_{2q-1}}^*a_{j_{2q}}\otimes s_{j_1}^*s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}^*s_{j_{2q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here the sum runs over all $(j_1,\ldots,j_{2q})\in\{0,\ldots,2n+1\}^{2q}$. Recall that Tr and tr denote the canonical traces on $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ and $B(\ell^2)$ respectively. By the above calculation, the left hand side of (7.7) is equal to

$$\sum_{0\leq j_1,\ldots,j_{2q}\leq 2n+1}tr\big(a_{j_1}^*a_{j_2}\ldots a_{j_{2q-1}}^*a_{j_{2q}}\big)\,Tr\big(s_{j_1}^*s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}^*s_{j_{2q}}\big).$$

Changing a_{2n+1} into $-a_{2n+1}$, we see that the right hand side of (7.7) is equal to

$$\sum_{0\leq j_1,\ldots,j_{2q}\leq 2n+1}(-1)^{|\{k\,:\,j_k=2n+1\}|}\,tr\big(a_{j_1}^*a_{j_2}\ldots a_{j_{2q-1}}^*a_{j_{2q}}\big)\,Tr\big(s_{j_1}^*s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}^*s_{j_{2q}}\big).$$

To show the equality (7.7) it therefore suffices to check that if a $2q$-tuple $(j_1,\ldots,j_{2q})$ in $\{0,\ldots,2n+1\}^{2q}$ is such that the cardinal $|\{k\,:\,j_k=2n+1\}|$ is an odd number, then

$$Tr\big(s_{j_1}^*s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}^*s_{j_{2q}}\big)=0.$$

Suppose that $|\{k\,:\,j_k=2n+1\}|=2m+1$, for some integer $m\geq 0$. Recall that $s_j^*=s_j$ for any $j\leq 2n$, that $s_{2n+1}^*=(-1)^ns_{2n+1}$ and that for any $0\leq j,j'\leq 2n+1$, the operators s_j and $s_{j'}$ either commute or anticommute. Note also that $s_{2n+1}^2=(-1)^n$. Thus we have

$$\big|Tr\big(s_{j_1}^*s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}^*s_{j_{2q}}\big)\big|=\big|Tr\big(s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\ldots s_{j_{2q-1}}s_{j_{2q}}\big)\big|=\big|Tr\big(s_{2n+1}^{2m+1}S\big)\big|=\big|Tr\big(s_{2n+1}S\big)\big|,$$

where $S\in\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ is the product of $(2q-(2m+1))$ operators belonging to the set $\{1,\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{2n}\}$. Since $q\leq n$, this product has at most $(2n-1)$ factors. Since $\omega_j^2=1$ for any $j\leq 2n$, we deduce that there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ and r distinct integers $i_1, \ldots i_r$ between 1 and $2n$ such that $s_{2n+1}S = \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_r}$. Then the trace of $s_{2n+1}S$ is zero.

(i)$\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let us assume that $p = 2q$, q is an integer, $q > n$, and let us show that $\tau\colon F_n^p \longrightarrow F_n^p$ is not completely contractive. We set

$$P(t) \,=\, \Bigl\|1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \,+ t \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \Bigr\|_p^p, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then P is a polynomial and according to Lemma 7.5, the fact that $\tau\colon F_n^p \longrightarrow F_n^p$ is not completely contractive is equivalent to P not be even. Let $c_1 = P'(0)$ be the coefficient of degree 1. Set $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ for convenience. Then by a computation similar to the one in the first part of this proof, one obtains that

$$c_1 \,=\, \sum_{(j_1,\ldots,j_{2q})\in\Gamma} \mathbb{E}\Bigl(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \prod_{k: j_k \neq 2n+1} \varepsilon_{j_k}\Bigr),$$

where $\Gamma \subset \{0, \ldots, 2n+1\}^{2q}$ is the set of all $2q$-tuples $(j_1, \ldots, j_{2q})$ for which there is a unique $1 \leq k \leq 2q$ such that $j_k = 2n+1$. Here $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the conditional expectation on $(\mathbb{D}_{2n}, \mathbb{P})$. Observe that for any $(j_1, \ldots, j_{2q}) \in \Gamma$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Bigl(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \prod_{k: j_k \neq 2n+1} \varepsilon_{j_k}\Bigr) \,=\, 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Moreover for the $2q$-tuple defined by letting $j_k = k$ for any $1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$ and $j_k = 0$ for any $k \geq 2n+2$, then the above conditional expectation is equal to 1. (We use that $q > n$ to define this particular $2q$-tuple.) We deduce that $c_1 > 0$, and hence that P is not even.

Let us now assume that $\gamma = \frac{p}{2}$ is not an integer and let us show that τ is not a complete contraction on F_n^p. For any positive real number $a > 0$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\Phi(a,t) \,=\, \Bigl\|1 + ia^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigl(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \,+ t \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j\Bigr)\Bigr\|_p^p.$$

Using Lemma 7.5 again, it suffices to show that for some positive real number $a > 0$, the function $\Phi(a, \cdot\,)$ is not even. We have

$$\Phi(a,t) \,=\, \mathbb{E}\Bigl[\Bigl(1 + a\Bigl(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \,+ t \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j\Bigr)^2\Bigr)^\gamma\Bigr],$$

hence Φ extends to a C^∞ function on a neighborhood of zero.

Suppose that $\Phi(a, \cdot\,)$ is even for any $a > 0$. Then $\frac{\partial^{n+1}\Phi}{\partial a^{n+1}}(0, \cdot\,)$ also is an even function. On a neighborhood of zero, we have

$$\frac{\partial^{n+1}\Phi}{\partial a^{n+1}}(a,t) \,=\, \delta_{\gamma,n}\, \mathbb{E}\Bigl[\Bigl(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \,+ t \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j\Bigr)^{2(n+1)} \Bigl(1 + a\Bigl(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j \,+ t \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j\Bigr)^2\Bigr)^{\gamma-(n+1)}\Bigr],$$

where $\delta_{\gamma,n} = \gamma(\gamma-1)\cdots(\gamma-n)$. Since γ is not an integer, this constant is non zero and we obtain that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\delta_{\gamma,n}^{-1}\,\frac{\partial^{n+1}\Phi}{\partial a^{n+1}}(0,t) \,=\, \mathbb{E}\Bigl[\Bigl(1+\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j \,+ t\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\varepsilon_j\Bigr)^{2(n+1)}\Bigr].$$

When we showed above that $\tau\colon F_n^{2(n+1)} \to F_n^{2(n+1)}$ is not a complete contraction, we showed that the above function of t is not even. Hence we obtain a contradiction. ☐

We now give an application to an extension problem.

Corollary 7.8. *Let $p \geq 4$ be an even integer. There exist a subspace $X \subset S^p$ and a completely bounded map $u\colon X \to S^p$ which has no bounded extension $S^p \to S^p$.*

We will need the following classical averaging argument. Let Z be a reflexive Banach space, let G be an amenable group and let $\lambda\colon G \to B(Z)$ be a strongly continuous representation such that $\lambda(g)\colon Z \to Z$ is a (necessarily onto) isometry for any $g \in G$. We say that a subspace $X \subset Z$ is invariant if $\lambda(g)$ maps X into X for any $g \in G$ and we say that a bounded linear map $u\colon X \to Z$ is a multiplier if

$$\lambda(g)\bigl(u(x)\bigr) \,=\, u(\lambda(g)x), \qquad x \in X.$$

Lemma 7.9. *Let $v\colon Z \to Z$ be a bounded linear map, and assume that $X \subset Z$ is invariant and that $v_{|X}\colon X \to Z$ is a multiplier. Then there exist a multiplier $w\colon Z \to Z$ such that $\|w\| \leq \|v\|$ and $v_{|X} = w_{|X}$.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in L^\infty(G)^*$ be a translation invariant mean on G. For any $x \in Z$ and $y \in Z^*$, consider the function

$$f_{x,y}(g) = \bigl\langle \lambda(g^{-1})\bigl(v(\lambda(g)x)\bigr), y\bigr\rangle, \qquad g \in G.$$

Then we may define $w \in B(Z)$ by letting

$$\langle w(x), y\rangle = \psi(f_{x,y})$$

and it is clear that the operator w satisfies the required conditions. ☐

Proof of Corollary 7.8. Let $p \geq 4$ be an even integer and let $n = p/2$. We let p' be the conjugate number of p and for $q \in \{p, p'\}$, we let $\tau_q\colon F_n^q \to F_n^q$ denote the transposition. According to Theorem 7.7, we have $\|\tau_p\|_{cb} = 1$ whereas $c = \|\tau_{p'}\|_{cb} > 1$.

We fix an integer $k \geq 1$ and we consider

$$Z_{k,q} = L^q(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{q}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{q}{\otimes} L^q(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \overset{q}{\otimes} S^q \qquad \text{and} \qquad X_{k,q} = F_n^q \overset{q}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{q}{\otimes} F_n^q \overset{q}{\otimes} S^q.$$

We will exhibit a complete contraction $u\colon X_{k,p} \to Z_{k,p}$ such that $\|v\| \geq c^k$ for any bounded linear map $v\colon Z_{k,p} \to Z_{k,p}$ extending u. Since $Z_{k,p}$ is completely isometric to S^p, the result follows at once using a standard direct sum argument.

For any $\Theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{2n}) \in \mathbb{D}_{2n}$, we let $\pi_\Theta\colon L^q(\mathcal{C}_{2n}) \to L^q(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$ denote the L^q-version of the $*$-representation $\mathcal{C}_{2n} \to \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ taking ω_j to $\theta_j\omega_j$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. This is an isometry and $\Theta \mapsto \pi_\Theta$ is a representation of $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$ on $L^q(\mathcal{C}_{2n})$. Then let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle and consider elements of S^q as infinite matrices $[t_{rs}]_{r,s\geq 1}$ in the usual way. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_r)_{r\geq 1}$

and $\beta = (\beta_s)_{s\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{T}^\infty$, let $\gamma(\alpha,\beta)\colon S^q \to S^q$ be the linear mapping taking any matrix $[t_{rs}] \in S^q$ to $[\alpha_r t_{rs} \beta_s]$. Then $\gamma\colon \mathbb{T}^\infty \times \mathbb{T}^\infty \to B(S^q)$ is a strongly continuous isometric representation. We will apply Lemma 7.9 to the group

$$G = \underbrace{\mathbb{D}_{2n} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}_{2n}}_{k\,\text{times}} \times \mathbb{T}^\infty \times \mathbb{T}^\infty$$

and to the representation $\lambda\colon G \to B(Z_{k,q})$ defined by letting

$$\lambda(\Theta^1, \ldots, \Theta^k, \alpha, \beta) = \pi_{\Theta^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{\Theta^k} \otimes \gamma(\alpha,\beta).$$

Indeed, G is amenable and it follows from the above discussion that λ is a strongly continuous isometric representation. Let E_{rs} denote the canonical matrix units in S^q. It is easy to check (left to the reader) that a bounded linear map $w\colon Z_{k,q} \to Z_{k,q}$ is a multiplier if and only if w is diagonal with respect to the elements $\omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs}$, for $A_1, \ldots A_k \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ and $r, s \geq 1$.

Clearly the space $X_{k,p}$ is invariant. Let

$$u = \tau_p \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_p \otimes I_{S^p} \colon X_{k,p} \longrightarrow Z_{k,p}.$$

Then u is a multiplier and $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$. Let $v\colon Z_{k,q} \to Z_{k,q}$ be a bounded extension of u. By Lemma 7.9 there exist a multiplier $w\colon Z_{k,q} \to Z_{k,q}$ extending u and such that $\|w\| \leq \|v\|$. Now consider the adjoint map $w^*\colon Z_{k,p'} \to Z_{k,p'}$. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \big\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \ldots, \{2n\}, \{1, \ldots, 2n\}\big\}$$

and recall that F_n is the linear span of $\{\omega_A \,:\, A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. For any $A_1, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $r, s \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle w^*(\omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs}),\, \omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs}\big\rangle \\
&\qquad = \big\langle \omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs},\, \tau(\omega_{A_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau(\omega_{A_k}) \otimes E_{rs}\big\rangle \\
&\qquad = (-1)^m,
\end{aligned}$$

where m is the number of j's such that $A_j = \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$. Since w is a multiplier, w^* is a multiplier as well, hence we deduce from above that

$$w^*(\omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs}) = (-1)^m \omega_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{A_k} \otimes E_{rs}.$$

This shows that

$$w^*_{|X_{k,p'}} = \tau_{p'} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{p'} \otimes I_{S^{p'}}.$$

Consequently we have

$$c^k = \|\tau_{p'} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{p'}\|_{cb} = \|\tau_{p'} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{p'} \otimes I_{S^{p'}}\| \leq \|w^*\| = \|w\| \leq \|v\|,$$

and this concludes the proof. □

We mention that instead of Theorem 7.7, one can use some results from [15] to prove the above corollary.

As a complement we will prove that Corollary 7.8 extends to the case $p = 1$. We start with a simple consequence of the noncommutative Khintchine inequalities. We refer e.g. to [18, Sect. 9.8] for these inequalities. In the sequel we let

$$\Phi_N = \mathrm{Span}\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N\} \subset \mathcal{C}_N$$

and we let Φ_N^1 be that space regarded as a subspace of $L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$. Also we let $\mathrm{Rad}_q^N = \mathrm{Span}\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N\} \subset L^q(\mathbb{D}_N)$ for $q \in \{1, \infty\}$.

Lemma 7.10. *There is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for any $N \geq 1$ and for any $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ in S^1, we have*

$$C^{-1}\Bigl\Vert\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \omega_j\Bigr\Vert_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1} \leq \Bigl\Vert\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \varepsilon_j\Bigr\Vert_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1} \leq C\Bigl\Vert\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \omega_j\Bigr\Vert_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1}.$$

Proof. This result is a simple consequence of [8, Th. 3.7], which is more general. We give a specific proof of independent interest. We will use classical notation and techniques from operator space theory (see [18]). The symbol $\approx$ will stand for a complete isomorphism whose isomorphism constants do not depend on the dimension. With this notation, the result to be proved is that

$$\Phi_N^1 \approx \mathrm{Rad}_1^N.$$

The noncommutative Khintchine inequalities on S^1 mean that $(\mathrm{Rad}_1^N)^* \approx R_N \cap C_N$. This implies that

$$\bigl(L^1(\mathcal{C}_N) \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1\bigr)^* \approx \mathcal{C}_N \otimes_{\min} (R_N \cap C_N). \tag{7.8}$$

For $q \in \{1, \infty\}$, we let

$$\lambda\colon \mathbb{D}_N \longrightarrow B(L^q(\mathbb{D}_N)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu\colon \mathbb{D}_N \longrightarrow B(L^q(\mathcal{C}_N))$$

be the natural representations of $\mathbb{D}_N$. Namely for any $\Theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{D}_N$, $\lambda(\Theta)$ (resp. $\mu(\Theta)$) is the $*$-representation taking ε_j to $\theta_j\varepsilon_j$ (resp. ω_j to $\theta_j\omega_j$) for any j. These maps are complete isometries.

Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$ denote the canonical basis of $R_N \cap C_N$, let Z_N^1 be the linear span of the $\omega_j \otimes \varepsilon_j$ in $L^1(\mathcal{C}_N) \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1$ and let Z_N^∞ be the linear span of the $\omega_j \otimes e_j$ in $\mathcal{C}_N \otimes_{\min} (R_N \cap C_N)$. Let $P\colon L^1(\mathcal{C}_N) \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1 \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N) \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1$ be the orthogonal projection onto Z_N^1. It is easy to check that

$$P = \int_{\mathbb{D}_N} \mu(\Theta) \otimes \lambda(\Theta)\, d\mathbb{P}(\Theta),$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ be the uniform probability on $\mathbb{D}_N$. Hence P is completely contractive. Passing to the adjoints and using (7.8), this implies

$$Z_N^{1*} \approx Z_N^\infty.$$

For any $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in B(H)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} (a_j \otimes \omega_j)^*(a_j \otimes \omega_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j^* a_j \otimes \omega_j^*\omega_j = \Bigl(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j^* a_j\Bigr) \otimes 1,$$

and similarly, $\sum_j (a_j \otimes \omega_j)(a_j \otimes \omega_j)^*$ is equal to $\big(\sum_j a_j a_j^*\big) \otimes 1$. This implies that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_j\Big\|_{B(H)\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{C}_N\otimes_{\min}(R_N\cap C_N)} = \Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes e_j\Big\|_{B(H)\otimes_{\min}(R_N\cap C_N)}.$$

Thus Z_N^∞ is completely isometrically isomorphic to $R_N \cap C_N$.

Now let $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in S^1$. We have $\big\|\sum_j a_j \otimes \omega_j\big\|_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1} = \big\|\sum_j a_j \otimes \theta_j\omega_j\big\|_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1}$ for any $\Theta \in \mathbb{D}_N$. Taking the average, this implies that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \omega_j\Big\|_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1} = \Big\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \otimes \omega_j \otimes \varepsilon_j\Big\|_{S^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \Phi_N^1 \overset{1}{\otimes} \mathrm{Rad}_N^1}.$$

Thus Z_N^1 is completely isometrically isomorphic to Φ_N^1. Consequently, $\Phi_N^{1*} \approx R_N \cap C_N$, and hence $\Phi_N^1 \approx \mathrm{Rad}_N^1$. ☐

Proposition 7.11. *There exist a subspace $X \subset \ell^1$ and a completely bounded map $u\colon X \to S^1$ which has no bounded extension $\ell^1 \to S^1$.*

Proof. Suppose that this statement is false and for any $N \geq 1$, let $u_N\colon \mathrm{Rad}_1^N \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$ be the linear mapping taking ε_j to ω_j for any $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then there is a constant $K \geq 1$ (not depending on N) such that u_N has an extension $v_N\colon L^1(\mathbb{D}_N) \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$ satisfying $\|v_N\| \leq K\|u_N\|_{cb}$. The argument in Lemma 7.9 shows that u_N actually has an extension $w_N\colon L^1(\mathbb{D}_N) \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$ such that $w_N \circ \lambda(\Theta) = \mu(\Theta) \circ w_N$ for any $\Theta \in \mathbb{D}_N$, and $\|w_N\| \leq \|v_N\|$. Arguing as in Corollary 7.8 we find that the restriction of w_N^* to Φ_N coincides with the canonical mapping $\Phi_N \to \mathrm{Rad}_N^\infty$ which takes ω_j to ε_j for any j. Since $\Phi_N = \ell_N^2$ and $\mathrm{Rad}_N^\infty = \ell_N^1$ isometrically we find that

$$\sqrt{N} = \big\|Id\colon \ell_N^2 \longrightarrow \ell_N^1\big\| \leq \|w_N\| \leq K\|u_N\|_{cb}.$$

However Lemma 7.10 ensures that $\sup_N \|u_N\|_{cb} < \infty$, which yields a contradiction. ☐

We proved in Proposition 5.6 that the orthogonal projection onto E_N is not completely contractive. For completeness we shall now give an asymptotic estimate of its completely bounded norm, based on Lemma 7.10. For any two sequences $(\alpha_N)_{N\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_N)_{N\geq 1}$ of positive real numbers, we write $\alpha_N \asymp \beta_N$ to say that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $C^{-1}\alpha_N \leq \beta_N \leq C\alpha_N$ for any $N \geq 1$.

Corollary 7.12. *Let $P_N\colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \mathcal{C}_N$ be the orthogonal projection onto E_N. Then*

$$\|P_N\|_{cb} \asymp \sqrt{N}.$$

Proof. Let $W_N\colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \Phi_N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_N$ be the orthogonal projection onto Φ_N. It is clear that $\|P_N\|_{cb} \asymp \|W_N\|_{cb}$. The adjoint $W_N^*\colon \Phi_N^* \to L^1(\mathcal{C}_N)$ coincides the 'identity mapping' from Φ_N^* onto Φ_N^1. By Lemma 7.10 and the noncommutative Khintchine inequalities, we have $\Phi_N^1 \approx \mathrm{Rad}_N^1 \approx (R_N \cap C_N)^*$, hence $\|W_N^*\|_{cb} \asymp \|Id\colon \Phi_N^* \to (R_N \cap C_N)^*\|_{cb}$. Thus by duality,

$$\|W_N\|_{cb} \asymp \|Id\colon R_N \cap C_N \longrightarrow \Phi_N\|_{cb}.$$

It is shown in [18, p. 221] that $\|Id\colon R_N \cap C_N \to \Phi_N\|_{cb} \asymp \sqrt{N}$, and this estimate completes the proof. ☐

By an averaging argument it is easy to see that for any projection $Q\colon \mathcal{C}_N \to \mathcal{C}_N$ whose range is equal to E_N, we have $\|P_N\|_{cb} \leq \|Q\|_{cb}$. Thus the 'completely bounded projection constant' of E_N is $\asymp \sqrt{N}$. This result appeared in [8, Cor. 3.12].

Remark 7.13. We do not know which numbers $p \in [1,2) \cup (2,\infty)$ have the property $(\mathcal{E})$ that there exist a subspace $X \subset S^p$ and a completely bounded map $X \to S^p$ without any bounded extension $S^p \to S^p$. We just proved that $(\mathcal{E})$ holds true for any p belonging to the set $B = \{1\} \cup \{2k \,:\, k \geq 2\}$.

Let d_{cb} denote the completely bounded Banach-Mazur distance of operator spaces (see [18, p. 20]). For any $m \geq 1$, the function $(p,q) \mapsto d_{cb}(S^p_m, S^q_m)$ is continuous. A thorough look at the proofs of Proposition 7.11 and Corollary 7.8 together with a simple continuity argument based on the above fact therefore shows that any $p \in B$ admits a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_p$ such that $(\mathcal{E})$ holds true for any $q \in \mathcal{V}_p$.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for bringing the paper [8] to our attention.

References

[1] J. Arazy, and Y. Friedman, *The isometries of* $C_p^{n,m}$ *into* C_p, Israël J. Math. 26 (1977), no. 2, 151-165.

[2] J. Arazy, and Y. Friedman, *Contractive projections in* C_1 *and* C_∞, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 200 (1978), 1-165.

[3] J. Arazy, and Y. Friedman, *Contractive projections in* C_p, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 459 (1992), 1-109

[4] O. Bratelli, and D. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics II*, Springer-Verlag, 1981.

[5] E. Effros, and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[6] E. Effros, and Z.-J. Ruan, *Representation of operator bimodules and their applications*, J. Operator Theory 19 (1988), 137-157.

[7] L. Harris, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*, pp 13-40 in "Infinite dimensional holomorphy", Lecture Notes in Math. 364, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[8] M. Junge, and T. Oikhberg, *Unconditional basic sequences and homogeneous Hilbertian subspaces of non-commutative* L_p*-spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007), 733-765.

[9] M. Junge, Z.-J. Ruan, and D. Sherman, *A classification for* 2*-isometries on noncommutative* L_p*-spaces*, Israël J. Math. 150 (2005), 285-314.

[10] C. Le Merdy, and B. Magajna, *A factorization problem for normal completely bounded mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. 181 (2001), 313-345.

[11] M. Neal, and B. Russo, *Contractive projections and operator spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 2223-2362.

[12] M. Neal, and B. Russo, *Representation of contractively complemented Hilbertian operator spaces on the Fock space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 475-485.

[13] M. Neal, É. Ricard, and B. Russo, *Classification of contractively complemented Hilbertian operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006), 589-616.

[14] P. W. Ng, and N. Ozawa, *A characterization of completely* 1*-complemented subspaces of noncommutative* L_1*-spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 205 (2002), 171-195.

[15] S. Neuwirth, *Cycles and* 1*-unconditional matrices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 93 (2006), 761-790.

[16] V. Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 78, Cambridge university Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[17] G. Pisier, *Non-commutative vector valued L_p-spaces and completely p-summing maps*, Soc. Math. France, Astérisque 247, 1998.
[18] G. Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory,* London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 294, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
[19] G. Pisier, and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative L^p-spaces*, pp. 1459-1517 in "Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces", Vol. II, edited by W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, Elsevier, 2003.
[20] R. Plymen, and D. Robinson, *Spinors on Hilbert spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 114, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
[21] J. Tomiyama, *On the projection of norm one in W^*-algebras, III*, Tohoku Math. J. 11 (1959), 125-129.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France
E-mail address: `clemerdy@univ-fcomte.fr`

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France
E-mail address: `eric.ricard@univ-fcomte.fr`

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France
E-mail address: `jean.roydor@univ-fcomte.fr`